



**Lancaster University Doctoral Programme in Clinical Psychology**

Service Related Project

**Childhood adversity and trauma: Experiences of professionals trained to routinely  
enquire about child adversity**

Trainee Number: 13/18

2013 Intake

*Word Count: 11,970  
(Excluding references and appendices)*

### **Abstract**

Research indicates that adverse childhood experiences play a causal role in the development of poor health and social outcomes in adulthood. Despite this, research suggests that such experiences go undetected since spontaneous disclosure is unlikely, and practitioners tend not to ask. A project was developed in which practitioners were trained to routinely enquire about adversity in their daily practice. Four pilot services took part that worked directly and indirectly with children and young people, many of whom are exposed to multiple adverse experiences. The present study aimed to explore the experiences of these practitioners. Seven interviews were conducted and the data was analysed using a form of thematic analysis. The emerging themes were: change in knowledge, perception and practice; the emotional impact of hearing and responding to disclosures; confidence in asking and responding appropriately; making sense of the impact for clients; how and when to ask. Findings indicated that participants' change toward more adverse-experience-informed formulations of clients' difficulties ensured commitment to routine enquiry and changes in referral patterns and therapeutic practice. Suggestions are made with regard to the practicalities of routine enquiry and how services can best support practitioners who are embedding this skill into their practice.

### **Childhood adversity and trauma: Experiences of professionals trained to routinely enquire about child adversity**

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), along with related terms such as childhood trauma and maltreatment, refer to a range of negative childhood experiences. The World Health Organisation defines childhood trauma and adversity as all forms of physical and emotional abuse, neglect or exploitation that results in actual or potential harm to a child (Butchart, Harvey, Mian, Furniss & Kahane, 2006). ACEs may include, but are not limited to, physical, sexual and emotional abuse, bullying, parental death or loss, neglect and poverty (Felitti et al., 1998).

The prevalence of ACEs are difficult to accurately establish. However, according to the National Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children (NSPCC, 2013), in March 2013, more than 50,000 children were listed on the child protection register or were subject to a child protection plan in the UK, and were, therefore, at risk of abuse. These statistics, however, only show those children recorded on safeguarding lists and there are many at-risk children who are not reported to services, or to whom services do not respond (Munro, 2011). Radford, Corral, Bradley and Fisher (2013) highlighted the discrepancy between the number of children on the child protection register and the prevalence of childhood adversity in a large population sample. At this time, 0.35% of children were on the child protection register compared to a prevalence of childhood adversity in their sample of 6%.

Global prevalence rates are also difficult to establish. However, Kessler, McLaughlin & Green (2010) reported that 53.4% of 51,945 participants from twenty-one high to low income countries reported experiencing at least one ACE which is consistent with other estimates (Felitti et al., 1998). They reported the following in order of prevalence: parental divorce (17.5%); family violence (14%); economic adversity (10.6%); parental mental illness (10.3%); parental death (9.9%); parental substance misuse (8.5%); physical abuse (8.4%);

parental criminality (7.2%); other parental loss (6.7%); sexual abuse (6%); physical illness (5.8%); and neglect (5.6%). These figures, however, are likely to be significantly lower than the actual prevalence figures due to, for example, the under-representation of lower-income countries and rural areas and the possibility of retrospective-recall bias.

Despite difficulties with establishing prevalence, high estimates have attracted considerable attention resulting in a vast body of literature exploring the impact of adversity on a range of outcomes in adulthood. Research has shown that ACEs are linked to a range of poor social outcomes in adulthood including increased aggression (Sarchiapone, Carli, Cuomo, Marchetti & Roy, 2009), limited education (Scher, Forde, McQuaid & Stein, 2004), adolescent pregnancy and foetal death (Hillis et al., 2004), peer rejection (Anthonysamy & Zimmer-Gemback, 2007) and an increased risk of homelessness (Herman, Susser, Struening & Link, 1997).

Health behaviours and lifestyle factors have also been linked to ACEs, including sleep disturbances (Chapman et al., 2011), smoking (Ford et al., 2011), alcohol abuse (Dube, Anda, Felitti, Edwards & Croft, 2002), severe obesity, physical inactivity and substance misuse (Anderson & Teicher, 2009; Dube et al., 2003; Felitti et al., 1998), factors that are leading causes of morbidity and mortality in the UK (e.g. Khaw et al., 2008; Kvaavik, Batty, Ursin, Huxley & Gale, 2010) and in other parts of the world (Danaei et al., 2009; Knoop et al., 2004; Woo, Ho & Yu, 2002).

In terms of health outcomes, research provides evidence for strong associations between ACEs and, for example, an increased risk of lung cancer (Brown et al., 2010) premature death and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Anda et al., 2008), cardiac disease (Dong et al., 2004), sexually-transmitted infections, autoimmune disease (Dube et al., 2009) and liver disease (Felitti et al., 1998). Furthermore, Felitti et al. (1998) provided

evidence that the risk of developing multiple poor health outcomes increased as exposure to ACEs increased, providing evidence of a dose-response, and therefore causal, relationship.

Overwhelming evidence for the impact of ACEs on outcomes in adulthood is also apparent within mental health literature. For example, globally, the World Health Organisation (Kessler et al., 2010) reported in a sample of almost 52,000 participants from twenty-one countries that childhood adversity, including interpersonal loss, parental maladjustment and maltreatment, were significantly associated with the onset of a wide range of adult mental health diagnoses. The authors estimate that in the absence of childhood adversity there would be a 22.9% reduction in mood disorders, 31% reduction of anxiety disorders, 41.6% reduction of behavioural disorders, 27.5% reduction of substance-related disorders, and an overall reduction of 29.8% of mental health diagnoses.

The authors of this research, however, did not include psychosis within their outcome measures, which suggests these statistics are significantly under-representative since childhood adversity is consistently reported to be strongly associated with an increased risk of psychosis in adulthood (Beards et al., 2013; Bentall, Wickham, Shevlin & Varese, 2012; Varese et al., 2012).

Research has also begun to explore the relationships between specific childhood adversities and specific mental health outcomes. In a systematic review of the literature, Carr, Martins, Stingel, Lemgruber and Juruena (2013) found a range of associations including that physical abuse was linked to an increased risk of substance use, mood difficulties, anxiety disorders and eating disorders. The authors reported that sexual abuse was linked to dissociation, psychosis, anxiety and personality difficulties, and emotional abuse was linked to eating disorders, substance misuse and personality difficulties.

Furthermore, much of this empirical research provides evidence of a causal relationship between ACEs and mental health outcomes, reporting that the more severe or

more frequent the adversity experienced, the greater the risk of developing mental health difficulties (Kessler, et al., 2010; Norman et al., 2012; Read, 2006; Van der Kolk & Fisler, 1994). This 'dose-response' relationship provides evidence that adversity predicts poor mental health outcomes and not the reverse.

The literature in this area is subject to limitations. For example, the research is predominantly quantitative and therefore adopts rigid definitions and methods of measuring ACEs. However, there is much variability of these between studies, thus making comparisons difficult (e.g. Bendall, Jackson, Hulbert & McGorry, 2008; Morgan & Fisher, 2007). Another limitation to be noted is that much of the research relies on retrospective self-report measures that are subject to the possibility of recall bias among respondents (Norman et al., 2012). Despite this, there is evidence to support that people experiencing mental health difficulties accurately recall past traumas (Fisher et al., 2011; Kuyen & Brewin, 1995).

Furthermore, the evidence base contains a number of prospective and longitudinal studies with large epidemiological population samples (e.g. Green et al., 2010; Horwitz, Widom, McLaughlin, & White, 2001; Kessler et al., 2012), alongside methodologically sound and rigorous meta analyses (e.g. Afari et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2010; Devries et al., 2014; Hillberg, Hamilton-Giachritsis & Dixon, 2011; Irish, Kobayashi & Delahanly, 2010; Varese et al., 2012), thus providing robust and consistent evidence.

This strong evidence base has led to leading researchers in the field to argue that childhood adversity should be detected early with the aim of preventing these poor outcomes in adulthood (Munro, 2011; Read, Hammersley & Rudegear, 2007; Read, Os, Morrison & Ross, 2005; Varese et al., 2012). However, a major barrier is that early detection currently relies primarily on the voluntary disclosure of adversity by children, young people and adults who use services.

Research suggests that those who have experienced abuse are unlikely to spontaneously disclose them. For example, Anderson, Martin, Mullen, Romans and Herbison (1993) found, in a sample of 252 women who had been sexually abused in childhood, that 52% waited ten years before they disclosed and 28% never disclosed, which is consistent with other findings (Frenken & Van Stolk, 1990; Read, McGregor, Coggan & Thomas, 2006). Furthermore, evidence suggests victims of abuse are no more likely to disclose to mental health services than to any other systems and often underreport (Dill, Chu, Grob & Eisen, 1991) or never disclose to services (Elliot, 1997; Finkelhor, 1990).

Young, Read, Barker-Collo and Harrison (2001) suggest that, since spontaneous disclosure is unlikely, it is clinicians' responsibility to ask about trauma and adversity. Indeed, research reports significant increases in disclosure if people are asked. For example, Read and Fraser (1998) found that 82% of psychiatric inpatients disclosed trauma when they were asked, compared to 8% who were not asked. Despite this, the authors also found that psychiatrists were unlikely to ask, since 68% ignored a section in their initial assessment referring to childhood adversity.

Similarly, Read, McGregor, Cogan & Thomas (2006) reported that 63% of women who had experienced childhood sexual abuse and had received input from adult mental health services were never asked about childhood abuse. Lothian and Read (2002) found that of seventy-four people receiving input from mental health services, 64% had experienced ACEs and 78% of those had never been asked about them. Interestingly, Lothian and Read found that 69% of those participants believed there was a connection between their ACEs and current psychological difficulties, but only 17% of them believed that the mental health professionals involved in their care made the same connection.

Young and colleagues (2001) qualitatively explored why clinicians continually failed to ask about ACEs. They identified two main themes related to feeling that there were more

pressing issues to attend to, and fear of causing distress to both the client and to themselves. The former may be indicative of a biological model for understanding psychological difficulties, in which childhood adverse experiences are often dismissed when considering aetiology (Bentall, 2003; Read et al., 2006).

The second dominant theme, fear of causing distress to themselves, may be a problem for some clinicians since vicarious trauma caused by empathically engaging with clients' traumatic histories has been identified to impact upon wellbeing (Michalopouloa & Aparicio, 2012; Pearlman & MacIan, 1995). However, vicarious trauma impacts only a minority of clinicians, and services should have adequate support systems in place to prevent such experiences, such as clinical and peer supervision (Trippany, Kress & Wilcoxon, 2004).

With regard to fear of causing distress to clients, again this is a possibility, however, Felitti and colleagues (1998) demonstrated that people are unlikely to become distressed by such questions. As part of a research project, Felitti and colleagues asked participants about ACEs and then offered them further support if they had been distressed by the questions. The authors found that no one took up the offer, suggesting they were not distressed by the questions. Furthermore, the authors reported that one participant sent them a letter following the study in which they wrote, "thank you for asking. I feared I would die and no one would ever know what had happened" (Felitti & Anda, 2014, p204-205).

This raises the question of how do we help mental health professionals feel more committed to, and confident in, asking about ACEs. Read and colleagues (2007) responded to this question highlighting the importance of considering the practicalities of asking ACE questions, and published clear guidelines covering who, when and how to ask about ACEs. The authors suggest it is essential that questions are asked during the initial assessment to prevent later avoidance, and recommend that practitioners should provide an explanation as to why the questions are important and also make clear the importance of adaptive language

to ensure elicitation. These guidelines have been used as a training model in both New Zealand and Early Intervention services in the UK, however, difficulties in embedding routine enquiry in everyday practice remained.

Toner, Daiches and Larkin (2013) explored the experiences of professionals within Early Intervention services who were trained to ask about ACEs. Based on their findings, the authors suggest that providing clinicians with the skills to ask is not enough, and that in order to ensure commitment and confidence, it is essential that there is a fundamental shift toward psychological, formulation-driven, trauma-based understanding of clients' experiences, which, in turn, facilitates commitment to asking about adversity.

The National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) has also recently published guidelines for services working with adults and children who may be affected by violence and abuse (NICE, 2014). These guidelines state that frontline staff working with such client groups should be trained to recognise ACEs, to sensitively and appropriately ask about ACEs, and that training should ensure confidence in the ability to ask questions, how to respond appropriately to disclosure, and to ensure knowledge of referral pathways. The guidelines state that those frontline staff should receive regular training and supervision in which good practice is monitored and maintained and in which staff have the opportunity talk about their own experiences of violence and abuse, as well as those difficulties that arise as a result of working with such clients.

Based on the evidence cited at the outset of this paper along with the recommendations provided by leading researchers in the field and the professional guidelines provided by NICE, clinicians within an NHS Trust have developed, and implemented, a pilot project that has trained practitioners who work directly and indirectly with children and young people to routinely enquire about adversity (REACH: Routine Enquire about Adversity

in Childhood: Larkin & Banner, *unpublished*). The present study was conducted within the REACH project, which will be described in more detail in the following section.

### **The Routine Enquiry into Adversity in Childhood (REACH) Project**

The REACH project team developed Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Awareness training based on Read and colleagues' (2007) and Toner and colleagues' (2013) research, and delivered it to four pilot sites across public and charitable sectors. The ACE training specifically aimed to help practitioners develop confidence to routinely ask about adversity as part of their standard assessments, to increase knowledge and awareness of the potential consequences of adversity and to develop skills in responding appropriately to disclosures of adversity, including appropriate referrals (Larkin & Banner, *unpublished*). Following training, practitioners began to embed routine enquiry into their practice and were provided with regular follow-up sessions with the REACH trainer to allow space for reflection and skill development. Following this, services were expected to continue to offer regular supervision to ensure that routine enquiry remained an essential part of practitioners' roles.

Four sites were selected to pilot the REACH project, which consisted of one NHS service, two services from the charitable sector, and one from a local authority, all of whom worked directly or indirectly with children and young people. All of these services were within one locality in the North West of England, providing services to one of the most disadvantaged populations in the UK. According to the English Indices of Deprivation (Department of Communities and Local Government, 2011), this locality, with a population of 147,489, has some of the UK's highest rates of child smoking, pregnancy and death, has higher than average rates of self-harm and hospital admissions for intentional and accidental injuries, and more than a quarter of the young people in this locality are thought to use illicit substances.

## **Aims of the Present Study**

The literature suggests that ACEs significantly increase the risk of poor health, social and psychological outcomes in adulthood. It is, therefore, essential that such experiences are identified early in a child's life to ensure that appropriate support can be provided to minimise the impact they may have. Despite this, there is also evidence that people do not spontaneously disclose ACEs, which suggests it should be the practitioner's responsibility to ask about them. However, it seems there are significant barriers to practitioners asking about early adverse experiences. The REACH project addressed these barriers and trained practitioners within four pilot sites who were working with children, young people and families to ask about ACEs and to provide appropriate support following disclosure. The overarching aim of the present study was to explore the experiences of practitioners from within each of these services who embedded routine enquiry about adversity into their daily practice. It was hoped that insights into their experiences would further our understanding of what better facilitates, and hinders, routine enquiry, allowing for recommendations to be made with regard to the future of embedding routine enquiry into practice.

## **Method**

### **Design**

Qualitative methods of data collection and analysis were chosen since they are particularly suited to understanding subjective experiences (Smith, 2003). Furthermore, qualitative methods were chosen in order to gather detailed, rich accounts of participants' experiences, thoughts and emotions, which are difficult to obtain through quantitative methods (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Individual, semi-structured interviews were chosen as the method of data collection, which allowed the exploration of areas of research interest, whilst allowing flexibility to explore avenues that emerged as pertinent to the participant. A form of

thematic analysis was chosen to analyse the data since this allowed for themes to be identified describing common experiences among participants (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

Braun and Clarke's (2006) conceptualisation of thematic analysis, argues that thematic analysis, unlike other forms of qualitative analysis, is not bound within any one theoretical framework or within a particular epistemological position, and, therefore, can accommodate a range of positions. Within the present study, this allowed the researcher to derive themes from within the dataset using a realist, phenomenological perspective. That is, an epistemological position was adopted assuming that there is a close relationship between the ways in which people think and behave and that the language people use to describe their thoughts and experiences also reflect the meaning that they derive from them (Braun & Clarke, 2013). Furthermore, thematic analysis allowed the researcher to acknowledge their personal subjectivity in the research process allowing for interpretation within the analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2013).

### **Participants**

Participants invited to take part in this study were health and social care practitioners from four pilot sites involved in the REACH project. To meet the inclusion criteria of the study, participants had to have been ACE-trained at least three months prior to the study.

It is important to note that despite each service having received the same ACE training, each of the four services that took part in the REACH project provide a range of services to different client groups. Therefore, the experiences of practitioners embedding routine enquiry may be different between services. The following section will, therefore, describe each service in more detail to provide an overview of the context in which each of the participating practitioners worked.

#### **Health visitors.**

The NHS service that took part consisted of Health Visitors who worked with families following the birth of a child and provided follow-up visits throughout the first year of the child's life, and then provided continued support as needed. As part of their role, Health Visitors encouraged healthy lifestyles and addressed any concerns regarding the physical, mental or social wellbeing of children. Health Visitors also lead on the Healthy Child Programme: Pregnancy and the First 5 Years of Life (Department of Health, 2009), a policy designed to ensure that all new parents have access to immunisations, health screens, development reviews, and advice around health, parenting and well-being.

This service was chosen as a suitable pilot site primarily since identifying ACEs within families during pregnancy and during the very early years of a child's life, families could receive the appropriate help, which would aim to prevent poor outcomes for the child. Furthermore, Health Visitors work with all new families within a population, and will, therefore, work with many families experiencing adversity.

Prior to the ACE training, Health Visitors used the Health Needs Assessment (Wright, Williams & Wilkinson, 1998), which is a comprehensive initial assessment tool that includes items related to ACEs such as those associated to domestic violence and substance use. Following the ACE training, the service included more in-depth ACE questions relating to a more diverse range of ACEs and with a more in-depth questioning style, which aimed to ensure that ACEs were not overlooked.

#### **Drug and alcohol charity for young people.**

A charitable service offering support to children and young people up to the age of eighteen who were experiencing difficulties with substance use also took part in the REACH project. Support Workers within this service worked individually with young people, their families and their communities to prevent and reduce substance use and to promote recovery and wellbeing. This service was chosen to pilot routine enquiry since the practitioners

worked closely with help-seeking young people experiencing significant difficulties and adversity in their lives.

Prior to the ACE training, the Support Workers within this service did not include any questions relating to ACEs within their initial assessment. Therefore, following the ACE training, the service added a new section covering adversity in childhood.

**Charitable family support service.**

Family Advocates took part in the REACH project who worked within a local charitable service offering support to families within the local community. The service aimed to offer support to those experiencing a range of difficulties including unemployment, addictions, domestic violence, relationship difficulties, mental and physical health problems. The support that the Advocates offered was wide ranging including individual emotional support, parenting interventions, practical support, advocacy and signposting.

Prior to the ACE training, practitioners within this service had not considered ACEs within their work with families, and developed a new section in their initial assessments that covered adverse childhood experiences.

**Local authority family support service.**

Family Wellbeing Practitioners took part in the REACH project working within a local authority service providing an outreach service to families who were experiencing a range of difficulties. The service works within a framework developed locally and approved by the Health and Wellbeing Board and the Local Safeguarding Children Board. The framework is based on a stepped model involving four levels. Family Wellbeing Practitioners within this service work within Level Three of the model, which identifies health, social, educational, emotional and behavioural, environmental and parenting needs and aims to work closely with families to reduce specific targeted problems.

Family Wellbeing Practitioners were chosen to take part in the pilot since they work closely with families who often have high numbers of ACEs and their primary aim is to reduce adversity in families. Similarly to the Health Visitors, this service also used the Health Needs Assessment (Wright, Williams & Wilkinson, 1998) as part of their screening prior to the ACE training, and included a broader range and more in-depth questioning to their assessment following the training.

In total, sixteen practitioners across these four services were informed of the study by the researcher during meetings: five Family Advocates working for the local authority family support service, three Family Wellbeing Practitioners from within a charitable organisation, three Support Workers within the charitable drug and alcohol service, and five Health Visitors within the NHS Trust. Of these, seven participants contacted the researcher and volunteered to take part: three Health Visitors (given the pseudonyms Jen, Sarah and Zoe), two Family Advocates (given the pseudonyms Sam and Tara), one Family Well-Being Practitioner (given the pseudonym Breda), and one Support Worker (given the pseudonym Pete).

### **Data Collection**

Initial contact was made via e-mail to the managers of each of the four sites. The researcher then met with the managers and with practitioners from within the service who were available at that time. During these meetings, the researcher presented the rationale for the study and gave all those in attendance a copy of the Participant Information Sheet (Appendix G). Extra information sheets were left with the managers to give to those who could not attend. The information sheet provided details of the study, the commitment required, and provided the researchers contact details if they wished to participate.

The researcher met with those practitioners who wished to take part individually at their places of work. Prior to commencement of the interviews, the researcher explained the

limitations of confidentiality and gained informed consent with the use of a consent form (see Appendix H). The researcher also ensured each participant had the opportunity to ask questions before and after the interviews.

The researcher developed and used a semi-structured interview that was guided by previous research (Read et al., 2007; Toner et al., 2013) and was developed with guidance from both the research supervisor and the two REACH project leads (see Appendix B). During the interviews the researcher used this as a guide to ensure topics were covered that were significant to the research questions. However, open questions were used that allowed for the content to develop organically and allowed for the discussion of areas that were pertinent to the individual experiences of each participant. As the interviews progressed, the interview guide developed to include coverage of additional issues that were emerging that had not already been included.

Interviews lasted between thirty and ninety minutes, and were audio recorded. The recordings of each interview were then transcribed and assigned pseudonyms. Identifiers within the interviews were also removed during the transcription process. The research supervisor read the researcher's first transcript to ensure suitability of questioning style and to ensure that appropriate information was being elicited sensitively prior to commencement of the remaining interviews.

## **Analysis**

Thematic analysis is an approach that can be used flexibly when analysing data qualitatively (Smith, 2003). The researcher used the stages recommended by Braun and Clarke (2006), with an added element of interpretation.

Initially, the researcher ensured familiarity with, and 'immersion' into, the data by reading and re-reading the transcripts (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The researcher then proceeded to make initial notations on the transcripts (for an example, see Appendix C). These notations

included points of interest together with some interpretive thoughts, and were subsequently developed into codes. Similarities in content were then considered between the codes and these were combined into groups of codes. The researcher then created a table consisting of the groups of codes along with their original quotations. In a third column, the researcher wrote a narrative that encapsulated each of the codes within each group, thus developing initial themes. To ensure that each theme was grounded in the data set they were systematically checked back against the transcripts. The researcher then gave each of the initial themes tentative titles. This complete table (see Appendix D) was then sent to the research supervisor to ensure methodological rigour and reliability. The tentative themes derived from this first transcript were used to guide the remaining analysis process. Upon reaching saturation of coding, the tentative themes were re-read and those that could be collapsed and combined with other pertinent themes were. Finally, to ensure the final themes were representative of the data and the data was accurately captured in the narratives, the whole data set was re-read for a final time.

In order to ensure transparency, this process can be illustrated as follows. Within the first transcript, the interpretations “difficult disclosures become a burden to self”, “fear of not responding adequately” and “hearing disclosures is overwhelming” were combined into the theme: “The pressures and burden of hearing disclosure”. In order to encapsulate both individual and shared experiences, initial themes were kept in mind when analysing the remaining six transcripts, while remaining sensitive to new and unique information. As such, the theme above was merged with another theme: “Positive self experience resulting from disclosure” and renamed: “The emotional impact of hearing and responding to disclosure”. Once each of the final themes had been identified, the researcher further analysed each theme to ensure they were distinct in content, whilst simultaneously overlapping, and that they were clearly and reliably representative of the data set.

The researcher took relevant steps to ensure the quality of the research. Within qualitative research, aspects such as transparency and methodological rigour are important to ensure the quality and reliability of analysis (Yardley, 2008), which the researcher took steps to achieve through regular supervision with the research supervisor and with the use of participant quotes throughout the results section. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the researcher is a Trainee Clinical Psychologist and, therefore, has developed their own understanding of ACEs and has similar professional experiences to the participants taking part in this study in terms of eliciting information about ACEs to inform formulations about clients' experiences. Consequently, the researchers kept a reflective diary throughout the analysis process to allow for reflexivity and the identification of researcher bias, which had the potential to impact upon the interpretation of the data.

### **Ethical Considerations**

The researcher gained ethical approval from Lancaster University's Faculty of Health and Medicine Ethics Committee (see Appendix K), along with approval from the relevant research and development department within the National Health Service (see Appendix L).

Due to the potentially distressing content of the interviews, the researcher ensured that procedures were in place for any situation that could arise if the participant became distressed. Within the Participant Information Sheet (see Appendix G) that was initially given to participants, information was provided about the possibility of distressing content arising during the interviews and how this would be responded to. Furthermore, a list of other services and contact details were provided for participants to contact in the event of experiencing distress. Additionally, within the application to the ethics committee the researcher outlined a plan of how they would respond to distress during the interviews, ensuring that such issues would be dealt with sensitively and appropriately to ensure minimal

distress (for full application form see Appendix I; for excerpt regarding responding to distress see Appendix E).

It is important to note that during data collection, one participant expressed some distress during the interview and asked for the interview to be paused. Following pausing the audio recording, the participant and researcher discussed the distressing material. When the participant indicated they were no longer distressed the researcher suggested continuing the recording since all of the information he had to talk about would be important for the analysis; the participant agreed for the interview to be reconvened and recorded. Following the end of the interview the researcher and participant discussed the distressing content further. However, due to time restrictions for the participant, this was brief; the researcher therefore telephoned the participant at the end of the working day to ensure his wellbeing and suggested further support if he felt it was needed. The participant assured the researcher that distress had not continued following the conclusion of the interview but the researcher ensured the participant had contact details for further support should the need arise. The research supervisor was also informed of this event, with the participant's knowledge.

It should be noted that prior to the commencement of the interview, all participants were made aware of their right to withdraw from the study at any time, up to the point of write-up, and the limitations of confidentiality were explained.

## **Results**

The following section reports the five themes that the analysis elicited: (1) change in knowledge, perception and practice; (2) the emotional impact of hearing and responding to disclosure; (3) confidence in asking and responding appropriately; (4) making sense of the impact of disclosure for clients; and (5) how and when to ask about adverse experiences. All names reported in this section are pseudonyms to protect the anonymity of participants.

### **Theme 1: Change in Knowledge, Perception and Practice**

This theme represents the varying degrees of impact that the ACE training had for participants' knowledge and awareness of early life experiences and how this impacted on their perceptions of clients and their clinical practice.

The extent to which the training impacted upon individual participants ranged considerably: some feeling little impact, "it was a nice informal session and kind of refreshed things...but yeah, nothing new" [Sam]; and some considerable impact, "prior to training we had never actually looked at adverse childhood experiences so it was really useful" [Pete].

This varying degree of impact seemed to be predicted by participants' prior experience of considering ACEs within their practice. Those with no prior experience described more significant changes: "I don't think that without the knowledge of the ACE questions and the scores I would have picked up on those issues" [Breda]; whereas those with prior experience described less change: "It's just about...trying to seek out if they had any traumas or difficulties in their childhood that then affect how they parent their children, so we already did that, and we also had routine questioning which, again, is routine for our service" [Zoe].

Participants talked about experiencing transition toward a more ACE-informed understanding of their clients: "I think because I knew about those ACE questions, I knew where dad was coming from, rather than dad just being a difficult parent, well it just made more sense" [Breda]; and of the difficulties they were experiencing:

It were good for us to understand, when these adverse childhood experiences happen to somebody, how it does go on to lead to alcohol use, mental health problems and things like that, so it made us more aware. [Sam]

Furthermore, participants identified how this increase in awareness then impacted on their practice including, "the referrals we are making, making sure people are getting the support they need...I have sent probably a lot more referrals through for counselling" [Sam],

or offering additional support: “We can do some structured listening visits or something like that, and if we feel it is impacting on their parenting we can do some work on parenting” [Zoe].

One of the most commonly identified changes to practice was related to the therapeutic conversations that participants had with their clients following disclosure. Participants spoke about revisiting disclosures throughout their work with families and young people, helping them to gradually understand the links between their ACEs and current experiences:

I think it’s one of those things that you have the knowledge there, I think you hold on to it and I think you kind of go in when you feel now is the time...I revisit it...I might not have explored it at the time but...something come up and you say, oh do you remember when you said that happened and how that you made you feel. [Breda]

Participants also acknowledged changes to communication between services and how the ACE information could “help you be a bit more of an advocate for the family” [Sarah], which seemed to facilitate an increase in understanding and empathy among other professionals toward clients: “that then changed the way [the health professional] behaved toward [young person] and then his relationship with her started to improve.” [Pete].

Those participants who felt less dramatic changes within their awareness or practice described the inclusion of more in-depth ACE questions to their initial assessments: “with the ACE questions I think it’s more thought provoking...a deeper level.” [Jen], and facilitated more confidence asking ACE questions: “the training gave me the basis of saying yes you are confident and competent” [Tara].

In summary, the extent to which the ACE training impacted on participants varied. This variation seemed to be related to the level of experience and awareness of ACEs participants had prior to training. The training seemed to facilitate more ACE-informed

formulations of their clients' difficulties, which led to increased referrals to external services, including counselling, and allowed for more reflective and therapeutic conversations with clients.

## **Theme 2: The Emotional Impact of Hearing and Responding to Disclosure**

This theme represents the different experiences among participants regarding the personal emotional impact of hearing and responding to disclosures.

Some participants felt no emotional impact since their practice had not significantly changed: "I don't think there's anything that's made anything more difficult, because, you know, we were having the levels of conversation that we are used to anyway" [Sarah]. Others described feeling "nervous" [Sam] at first and expecting routine enquiry to be a difficult experience, but in practice, clients rarely reported experiencing difficulties:

I've not had any negative experiences with doing the ACEs yet, yeah I have to say I was expecting to be going and looking at it and some people saying, well what the heck has that got to do with you, but I've not had any experience of that, I always find that people have been very open to talk about it. [Sam]

Participants did acknowledge some degree of distress as a normal emotional response since "you're only human" [Jen], and that was particularly pertinent for participants with regard to children's ACEs: "you still look at that baby and think that's a brand new life and already its come in to this world with a high ACE score" [Breda].

However, participants also acknowledged positive emotional experiences that, for most, seemed to outweigh any negative experiences. Participants spoke about feeling "more determined that I am going to help" [Sarah], and feeling "honoured and blessed that I've been there to get them through whatever they're going through" [Tara]. Furthermore, participants talked about how a more ACE-informed awareness helped to maintain a sense of motivation and drive that seemed to protect them from burnout:

I think that just gives you that drive to keep going...I've taken five steps forward and five steps back, you know, and I think where you kind of go, why am I bothering, you know why you are bothering and you know why it matters. [Breda]

Conversely, one participant expressed a significant level of increased distress associated with routine enquiry. This participant described talking to clients about their disclosures as a "lost world" [Pete] and felt that disclosures became his "burden as well when they've shared it" [Pete]. This participant felt it difficult "to move on from that after you've closed the session" [Pete] and felt "forced to do that" [Pete] due to time limitations and service restrictions. A significant part of this participant's distress seemed to result from experiencing conflict between feeling obliged to respond to the disclosure since "it's been disclosed to me" [Pete], and feeling that "I'm not qualified to be dealing with that" [Pete]. However, this participant also described emotional responses as positive:

I think if you try and not let it affect you then it's going to stop you from being effective with that person in a way because you're not being genuine and I think that would come across. [Pete]

### **Theme 3: Confidence in Asking and Responding Appropriately**

Much of the variation within the emotional impact that routine enquiry had for participants seemed to be related to the degree of confidence that they felt in their ability to ask the questions and respond to disclosures appropriately. The present theme reflects the varying degrees of confidence felt among the participants and the factors that seemed to impact on confidence.

Participants commonly spoke of an initial concern about asking the questions, feeling they might be "opening a can of worms that we can't deal with" [Breda], and feeling concerned that "we're going in and they're bringing up a lot of stuff and some of it has been very traumatic for them and then we're doing that and then kind of leaving" [Sam].

However, the majority of participants never felt “unable to support someone” [Zoe], and for most participants this was an initial concern that did not materialise: “for me that was a worry but that hasn’t happened” [Breda].

Important factors were identified with regard to facilitating confidence in asking and responding to disclosure, including feeling “able to refer that person on when necessary” [Jen], and knowing when not to refer on: “I feel confident that I don’t always need to do something about it” [Tara], since participants felt that for some clients “it just helps to talk about their experiences” [Sarah].

One participant, however, described feeling significantly under-skilled to respond to disclosure appropriately: “I don’t have the confidence to, and...I get quite emotional about this kind of stuff, and not knowing what to do, it’s worse than, I don’t know, it’s worse because I don’t know what to do” [Pete].

Furthermore, this participant felt that, although they listened to clients’ disclosures, that “that’s not going to help the person” [Pete], and there was a sense of feeling betrayal by referring the client on: “I have just told you something and now you’re telling me to go there and tell that complete stranger who I don’t know” [Pete].

Importantly, it seemed that this discrepancy regarding confidence was related, not only to the extent of previous experience of routine enquiry, but also the extent to which they perceived support from within their organisations. Participants spoke about the importance of not only feeling supported by their managers: “we have always got our managers there daily, and you’d never hold on to anything yourself” [Sam]; and finding “clinical case supervision really useful” [Jen]; but also having the opportunity for peer support and “informal supervision in the office” [Zoe]:

We are all out there doing it, and it’s like peer supervision, and I think, yeah, it’s great to have the expert there, to also have your peers and to be able to share good practice

and to say yeah we are out there doing it, we are on the front line and we are actually asking the questions, I think that is really useful. [Breda]

Interestingly, the participant who felt a significant lack of confidence in responding appropriately to disclosure described feeling conflict between seeking support from a manager and dealing with disclosure independently:

I mean, we can go to our managers, you know, whenever there is a problem, and they are available all the time and you can discuss stuff with them all the time, so again, it's my choice maybe whether I go to a manager or should I just deal with it myself first time, then I can deal with it rather than run straight to my manager, you know, venting out or whatever. [Pete]

The majority of participants also felt that the training had covered sufficient detail to prepare them for embedding routine enquiry into practice, including detail such as “how we would do it, what a session would look like, how would we start it, when would we do it, how would we ask the questions” [Sam].

Following the training, it seemed important to participants that they were asked to routinely enquire with a limited number of new cases, and then met with the trainer “regularly...where we were coming back and feeding back what our experiences were” [Sam]. Feeling that the ACE trainer was always contactable and “would know what to do if I came to you with a scenario” [Breda] also seemed to facilitate confidence.

In summary, it seems that the more regular support participants received immediately following training was key to ensuring confidence in routine enquiry, and that following the appropriate reduction of this, that continuing clinical and peer supervision was important:

We had monthly supervision with the trainer and our manager and we shared, as a full team, our experiences and we backed each other up, we had all that and I think that's what has made us so confident in asking now. [Tara]

The participant who felt less confident felt that “the training was more about how to ask somebody about the childhood experiences rather than what to do next” [Pete]. This participant identified further requirements they felt they needed through training:

Definitely more training. How to close a session when something like that has been disclosed, something specifically on that and how to leave the person in a comfortable state so that when they come back in you can revisit it...and then for me myself it's, wow that's happened, now I have got someone else in five minutes, how do I detach? That's training again for staff. [Pete]

#### **Theme 4: Making Sense of the Impact of Disclosure for Clients**

Following the ACE training and subsequent changes to practice, participants reflected on the impact this had for their clients. The range of experiences identified within this theme is reflective of the range of client groups participants worked with, as well the diversity among their individual roles and service focus.

Some participants acknowledged negative aspects of asking young people and families about adverse experiences, particularly when they felt clients did not want to discuss their experiences: “they've kind of buried the problem, and so by discussing it with them is bringing it back up to the surface” [Pete]; when they felt their clients were at a particularly vulnerable times in their lives: “it can make people open up about things they don't necessarily want to think about...and it's an emotional time when you've just had a baby” [Sarah]; and when the participant felt it has been unnecessary: “sometimes when people don't want any help or support...and I've just brought it up for no reason” [Jen]. However, for the majority of participants described, these as rare and isolated occasions.

One of the most commonly discussed and most salient experience for participants was related to the therapeutic conversations they had with their clients and how these would often facilitate considerable changes in thinking. Those participants who worked with families

often talked about having “in-depth” [Jen] conversations with parents about how they were parented and how this reflects in their own parenting styles, thus helping parents to consider the impact their parenting was having on their children: “so you can have some really deep conversations, and how do you think, when you get mad like that, does that make your child feel” [Zoe]. Participants felt it was important to help parents to “understand what’s happened to them in their childhood, if we can stop them repeating those issues then hopefully we can make a better outcome for those children” [Sarah]. It seems these experiences were often dramatic and invaluable for some clients with participants describing them as experiencing “light-bulb” [Tara] moments:

I had a mum the other week and she said, no I were brought up fine, and then the week after I saw her and the little boy were struggling and I said, how did your mum manage with you, and she said, oh she just used to send me upstairs, she just used to ignore us, and I said, hey last week when you said everything were fine and dandy, how did you feel when your mum used to just send you upstairs, and she went [light bulb moment], and I could see it in her eyes...she knew she’d become her mum.

[Tara]

Furthermore, helping families to understand their situations in relation to their ACEs seemed to empower clients and increase their sense of autonomy over making change: “[parents] are able to identify the things they want to change so they are taking ownership of that and then we are kind of just helping them along with that journey” [Sam].

Participants acknowledged that this change in clients’ awareness helped them to feel less “done to” [Breda] by services as they have previously:

Because I think it’s so ingrained, well here we go again, I’ve just got to do what I’m told to do, and then not getting anything out of it because they don’t understand why

they're there, and this is why we get this cycle and why we get families coming back through agencies and support and why things keep going wrong. [Breda]

Importantly, participants talked about helping clients to address the impact of ACEs as the "missing link" [Tara] in their work since clinically targeting these underlying difficulties seemed to facilitate more lasting change within families:

For the families that have looked at ACE and have been to counselling, them families are actually maintaining which is different to [before] because we weren't addressing any of the underlying issues, and I think the family maintain the changes they make because they look at the underlying issues deeper. [Sam]

Alongside this, participants acknowledged that often parents had never considered the impact their experiences were having on their children: "most parents would just say that the children weren't affected by it, the children don't see it, I cover it up" [Breda]; and that change within families is a gradual process: "the conversation has been had...its food for thought, so it might not be initially, but it might be in the future that there are changes" [Tara]. It seems this gradual process of change is facilitated by parents beginning to question their experiences in relation to ACEs:

It's not suddenly changed thirty odd years of a behaviour...and it hasn't undone all those experiences, but it has made them question now, what are my children going through...what ACEs am I putting in front of my children, and I think it's started that journey for them. [Sam]

However, the significant changes described by participants seemed to remain within a large minority of cases:

I think for some parents you never really get passed that, well it's not going to impact on my children they're fine...I mean, I'd like it to happen more often, but I think if

I've got twenty families I work with, and five of them are actually questioning now, you know. [Breda]

However, in addition to the significant and salient experiences participants identified, there also appeared to be more subtle positive consequences of routine enquiry. Participants felt that clients have positive experiences from being listened to: "just being aware of that and giving her time to offload and contain her" [Zoe]; that clients feel "grateful for the opportunity to talk about their early experiences" [Sarah]; and that they feel heard since "they have never been asked these questions before" [Jen]. Positive changes in relationships within the families were also noted through an increase in communication and understanding: "that opened up that communication for the two of them...and they said they have understood one another more" [Breda].

### **Theme 5: How and When to Ask About Adverse Experiences**

This theme represents the range of practical considerations that participants made with regard to routine enquiry. A commonly discussed practicality related to asking the questions at the most appropriate point in the assessment. Alongside embedding routine enquiry into every initial assessment, it seems it is also important to consider asking the questions at the most appropriate time and to balance this with the demands of the organisations:

I mean some families it might not be appropriate to ask on that first visit and you know if I've got a mum and she's in floods of tears...I'm not going to start saying to her, you know, so yeah it's about being appropriate, and again this can be hard against what [our organisation] are asking us to do because [our organisation] are saying on the primary visit this is what we need to be doing so that can be a little bit hard, but you know, I could stand up and justify why I didn't do it. [Sarah]

For some participants, preparing their clients for the session was important “because it’s no good doing it if she’s going to have a house full of children or a friend over who she doesn’t want to speak in front of” [Sam]. Furthermore, participants commonly felt it was important to explain to clients why they were asking the questions and “how [ACEs] can impact on us and how...we can try and bring our children up a bit different” [Tara]. Some participants found this to be enough to prompt clients to disclose their ACEs: “very often people open up all sorts and you don’t need to trigger anymore” [Zoe].

The development of a working relationship also appears to be important for participants before they ask the questions: “if the person doesn’t want to answer the questions we could revisit it later on once we had built up a rapport with them, got a relationship with them” [Pete], and “later, when we’ve built that relationship, then they do open up” [Tara]. It seemed this was particularly important when working with families who had been in contact with services for a long time and who may have become “guarded against” [Breda] professionals. However, other participants felt that clients were more likely to disclose to them because “they see us as health professionals and we probably get a lot more information than what we expect” [Zoe]. This may, however, reflect the different client groups that participants work with ranging from help-seeking clients to all new families from within the general population.

Participants acknowledged that using adaptive language was important when asking the questions: “I think for some parents, the way you word [emotional neglect], you know, did you feel supported by your parents, did you feel somebody was there for you emotionally” [Breda]. Furthermore, it seemed often clients would openly disclose the information, whereas others would be more hesitant, and participants spoke about adapting the way they ask the questions, for example to be “a little bit more probing and try to get it in a different way” [Jen].

There were some discrepancies among participants with regard to how to ask the questions. Some felt asking the questions in a non-structured, conversational style was important to “make it as non-threatening and as informal as [possible]” [Breda]. Others felt that asking the questions in a more structured format was more helpful for clients, “because if you ask it in a different way it wouldn’t be as effective, there’s only one way, just come out and say it” [Pete]. Others felt directly sharing the questions with clients was most helpful: “so I’ll say, you read the questions, and then do you want to share anything” [Tara].

Participants spoke about the importance of revisiting, not only the questions, but also any disclosures later during the course of their work together. It seems that asking the questions and identifying ACEs was often the start of the process for participants and that they would reflect disclosures back to clients during the course of their work together: “I’d often reflect back and say, can you see how this behaviour is maybe due to that” [Sam].

In summary, there were common factors within this theme including asking the questions following the development of a working relationship and having an understanding of why they were asking the questions which in turn allowed them to ensure that clients understood why the questions were being asked. It also seemed important for participants to use adaptive communication skills and professional judgement within each case to make clinical decisions about when and how to ask:

I think it’s just about personality, building up a relationship, body language, where they are and being confident in how you do it and why you are doing it, really focussed on why you are doing it, and I don’t think you could make it any other way.  
[Tara]

## **Discussion**

The aim of this research was to construct an understanding of the experiences of practitioners who were trained in adverse childhood experiences, and who were routinely

enquiring about these as part of their practice. A form of thematic analysis was used to elicit five main themes: change in knowledge, perception and practice; the emotional impact of hearing and responding to disclosure; confidence in asking and responding appropriately; making sense of the impact for clients; and how and when to ask.

Following the ACE training, participants described more in-depth, empathic understanding of their clients' difficulties based on an increased awareness that early adversity predicts poor outcomes, which is in line with the developing empirical literature cited at the outset of this paper. Furthermore, participants expressed commitment to routine enquiry as a result of the ACE training, and highlighted the positive impact it has had on their clinical practice. This is reflective of previous research in which Toner and colleagues (2013) described trauma-informed models of understanding psychosis as the core-driving factor that shaped practice for practitioners working with adults experiencing psychosis.

An important finding of this research is that participants commonly spoke about the shift toward more ACE-informed formulations of their clients experiences led to changes in clinical practice, which, in turn, facilitated more lasting change for clients. This seemed to be primarily related to three areas of practice; through an increase in therapeutic conversations that participants had with their clients, through an increase in collaborative working, and through more empathic, ACE-informed understanding of clients' difficulties.

Within the therapeutic conversations participants reported as a result of routine enquiry, participants described helping clients to make links between their ACEs and current situations, difficulties or parenting styles. Participants identified this as a key factor in helping families to make more significant and lasting change. This is consistent with previous findings in which Gumley and MacBeth (2006) found that facilitating clients to make such links helped to normalise experience, reduce distress and increase a sense of control.

Participants also reported that helping clients to make these links increased collaborative working and led to more ACE-informed, formulation-driven intervention plans, both of which have been identified as significant predictors of outcomes in therapy (Wright & Davis, 1994; Larkin & Read, 2008). Participants also described experiencing an increase in empathic understanding of clients' difficulties which may also facilitate more lasting change since client perception of clinician empathy is also one of the most significant predictors of outcomes in therapy (Horvath & Symonds, 1991; Lambert & Barley, 2001).

Increased ACE-informed formulations also predicted a self-reported increase in referrals to counselling services to allow clients to work therapeutically through the impact of their experiences. This finding is in contrast with previous research in which Agar and Read (2002) found that clinicians tended not to respond to disclosure with increased referrals. This discrepancy may reflect the impact that the ACE training had in facilitating fundamental changes in participants' understanding of the aetiology of their clients' difficulties, and thus commitment to change in practice. This is consistent with Felitti and Anda (2014) who, following the introduction of routine enquiry into practice within a primary care setting, found that conversations with clients around their disclosures helped practitioners to better understand what help they could offer.

Consistent with previous research (Young et al., 2001), participants commonly expressed concern prior to routine enquiry with regard to causing unnecessary distress to clients. For the majority, however, this reduced with practice and, consistent with previous findings (Felitti & Anda, 2014; Lothian & Read, 2002), participants often felt that clients were grateful of the opportunity to share and to talk about their ACEs, particularly since they had often never been asked about them before (Read et al., 2006).

One participant experienced personal emotional distress as a result of routine enquiry, which seemed to be linked to feelings of conflict between feeling a need to respond

appropriately to disclosure but not feeling competent to do so, alongside feelings of conflict between a sense of obligation to respond personally to disclosure and feelings of guilt with regard to referring clients on to relevant services. These factors may indicate a lack of opportunity for training and supervision for this participant, which seems to be in opposition to the experiences of the remaining six participants. Not only is adequate training and support essential for those practicing routine enquiry to ensure confidence and competence (NICE, 2014), but are also important factors to prevent symptoms associated with vicarious trauma and burnout in those working empathically with trauma histories (Trippany et al., 2004; Azar, 2000).

The majority of participants, however, expressed confidence in their ability to ask and respond appropriately, and also identified positive emotional experiences related to routine enquiry which seemed to buffer the potential for burnout. Interestingly, these participants highlighted peer supervision as one of the most important factors in maintaining confidence and developing skills. This is consistent with previous research that suggests peer supervision is important for those engaging with clients' trauma histories as it allows for the sharing of helpful coping strategies, decreases experiences of isolation, and increases resilience (Choi, 2001; Townsend and Campbell, 2008; Catherall, 1995; Lyon, 1993).

In terms of the practicalities of asking about early adverse experiences, there were commonalities among participants such as the importance of building rapport with clients before asking and using clinical intuition to make decisions about the style of language to use and appropriate times to ask. The use of adaptive language is an important feature in Read and colleagues' (2007) recommendations about how to ask about trauma, who suggest that considering how to frame questions in individual cases is important for eliciting ACE information. Furthermore, the use of clinical intuition to make decisions about language style and appropriate timing is consistent with findings by Toner et al. (2013). These authors go on

to suggest that these intuitive aspects are difficult to teach since they are more reflective of underlying personal qualities. This may suggest that it is not only important for practitioners to use adaptive language to suit the needs of the client, but also to use a questioning style that suits their own personal qualities.

The discrepancies among participants in terms of whether they chose to use structured or non-structured approaches to asking the ACE questions seemed to be related to their attempts to create positive working relationships, to reduce power imbalance, and to ensure collaborative working. One common factor among participants was related to a sense of importance of having a clear sense of why the questions were important to ask, which in turn helped increase confidence in asking. This is again reflective of Toner and colleagues' (2013) research who identified that practitioners felt it more pertinent to know "why ask" than "how to ask", and that this was based on the development of psychological, trauma-based formulations of clients' experiences, which led to increased commitment to, and confidence in, asking ACE questions.

In terms of when to ask, the findings suggested that participants aimed to ask during the initial assessment, however, it was often felt that either this was an inappropriate time due to environmental factors, or that clients felt unable to be open about their experiences due to not yet having developed a rapport. This is inconsistent with Read and colleagues' (2007) recommendations, who suggest that ACE questions should be asked at the initial meeting since a delay in asking decreases the likelihood of them being asked at all. However, the participants in this research did not suggest this was the case, and it seemed they held the questions in their minds throughout their work, always gathering new information and revisiting the questions as their relationships developed. This discrepancy may be reflective of the ACE training, which seemed to instil commitment to the questions by developing ACE-informed models for understanding their clients' difficulties.

In conclusion, the research findings suggest that the ACE training facilitated more ACE-informed formulations for participants of their clients' experiences, which increased empathic understanding, allowed for more collaborative working, and increased therapeutic conversations and self-reported referrals to counselling services. This change in practice, particularly through therapeutic conversations, seemed to help clients gain a better understanding of the impact of their early adverse experiences on their own parenting styles and how these may impact on the future of their children. Importantly, participants viewed this as a fundamental factor in helping clients to make more significant and lasting changes for the future of their children.

Factors that facilitated confidence and competence in asking ACE questions and responding appropriately to disclosure included follow-up training, support from managers and clinical supervision, and informal peer supervision. The competencies that developed through these mediums allowed participants confidence to use clinical intuition to make decisions about when to ask ACE questions, and to feel confident in making decisions in relation to offering more support, allowing the person to share their story, and making appropriate referrals.

### **Limitations**

One limitation of the present research is that it explored the experiences of routine enquiry from the perspectives of practitioners who worked with a range of different client groups. This ranged from participants who worked help-seeking young people experiencing significant difficulties, to participants who worked with all new families from within the general population. This, therefore, affected participants' experiences of routine enquiry and some of the issues raised may have been unique to working with specific client groups. It is possible that those who experienced more distress associated with routine enquiry did so partly due to the context within which they worked.

Another limitation is with regard to the small sample size used. A larger number of participants within each service would have allowed for more clarity with regard to the previous limitation and allowed for more insight into the different experiences of practitioners working with different client groups. Despite this, the aim of this research was not to establish generalisability, but rather to explore the experiences of participants who were routinely enquiring.

A final limitation that is important to note is that the researchers position within the research paradigm may have impacted upon participants' responses. That is, since participants were aware that the researcher was conducting research based on the REACH project and was receiving supervision from the leads of the project, they may have felt a need to demonstrate good practice and commitment to routine enquiry. Every effort was made, however, to reduce this possibility, and the researcher stressed at the beginning of the interviews that this research was independent of the project and that all responses would be entirely confidential.

### **Recommendations for Future Service Provision**

The most significant finding of this research suggests that change in practice and commitment to asking ACE questions is driven by practitioners' change in awareness toward more ACE-informed formulations of clients' difficulties. Therefore, the researcher suggests that this should be a fundamental aim of all future training.

Another important finding of the present study is that revisiting disclosure at pertinent times for clients throughout a period of work seemed to better facilitate the client to make links between past and present, and may be an important predictor of outcomes. Therefore, the researcher recommends that future implementation of routine enquiry should ensure that staff are trained to incorporate this revisiting skill into practice.

In addition to ensuring staff revisit disclosure during their work, the findings also suggest it is essential that clients who have experienced ACEs have the opportunity to access appropriate psychological support, since this may be a significant factor in helping clients to break patterns of behaviour that cause them to be regular users of services, allowing them to make more informed and lasting changes. It is also important to note, however, that the research findings suggest not all clients require, or want, referrals for psychological support, and that in the majority of cases therapeutic conversations with practitioners seemed to be sufficient to encourage change.

Based on the findings of this research, the researcher also recommends that services implementing routine enquiry should ensure that support for practitioners includes clinical supervision that focuses on monitoring and maintaining good practice, alongside allowing space for the emotional processing of hearing and responding to clients' adverse experiences, recommendations that are also stated within NICE (2014) guidelines. Furthermore, it is recommended that group and peer supervision be an essential part of continuing practice for practitioners using routine enquiry, as it seems to facilitate the sharing of experiences and competencies, allowing for increased confidence.

The overarching finding within this research suggests that the ACE training, which provides practitioners with ACE information formulations of clients' experiences, and with the skills and confidence to routinely enquire about these experiences, is an invaluable therapeutic enabler for practitioners and clients. Based on the evidence cited at the outset of this paper that identifies ACEs as predictors of poor health, social and psychological outcomes in adulthood, routine enquiry and early intervention appears to be an essential development for future services. Based on the findings of the present research, it is recommended that those implementing routine enquiry in the future should ensure

consideration of those factors presented in this paper that facilitate good practice and support for practitioners.

### **Recommendations for Future Research**

Due to the potential discrepancies identified within this study between the experiences of practitioners working with different client groups, it may be important to consider the specific needs of different services working with different client groups in terms of the level and content of ACE training that they require. In particular, research could explore the experiences of staff working specifically with children and young people to determine if their experiences and needs of training are qualitatively different from those working indirectly with children, for example with parents. This would highlight if more individualised training packages are required for different services.

It may also be helpful to conduct quantitative research to determine if the differences in standardised versus conversational styles of asking the ACE questions leads to any difference in terms of rates of disclosure. This would further our understanding of the more helpful practicalities within routine enquiry, which would aid the development of the practice as it develops nationally.

Clinical audits could be conducted within individual services that are embedding routine enquiry to determine the extent to which referral patterns are changing. This would allow for insight into the extent to which practice changes as a result of routine enquiry. Alongside this, research could explore the impact that counselling has for those referred in terms of improvements to quality of life and psychological difficulties. This would allow for empirical exploration into the extent to which changes in referral patterns are impacting outcomes for clients.

Quantitative research could also explore the long-term impact of routine enquiry through longitudinal, prospective research measuring a range of outcome variables such as

quality of life, psychological difficulties and health and social outcomes. This would allow for empirical evidence that routine enquiry is essential for all those working directly or indirectly with children and young people.

### **Dissemination Strategy**

This research will be submitted for publication to the Journal of Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice and Policy. The researcher chose this journal because of its published content with the aim of highlighting the impact that psychological trauma, including childhood trauma, has on psychological, social and health outcomes. Furthermore, the journal publishes research that explores the practical and service-related issues relating to psychological trauma. The editor, Dr Kathleen Kendall-Tackett, has a particular interest in the impact of psychological trauma, and the impact factor for the journal is 2.097. The journal is a publication from the American Psychological Association within the Division of Psychological Trauma. Guidelines for authors published by the journal are included in the Appendices (Appendix V).

In addition to submitting this study to an academic journal for publication, the researcher intends to disseminate the findings to the leads of the REACH project and to the services and participants who took part.

The researcher intends to write a concise report and executive summary suitable for the leads of the REACH project which will include a summary of the findings, a brief discussion regarding interpretation of these findings based on previous research, and the researchers recommendations for future implementation of routine enquiry. This report will be also be given to the services and participants that took part in the research.

Finally, the lead of the REACH project has requested that the researcher produce a poster presentation of the findings of the research, and to orally present this at a research conference, in coordination with other REACH project presentations. This extended

dissemination strategy aims to further promote routine enquiry across services and researchers nationally.

### References

Afari, N., Ahumada, S. M., Wright, L. J., Mostoufi, S., Golnari, G., Reis, V., & Cuneo, J. G. (2014). Psychological trauma and functional somatic syndromes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 76(1), 2-11.

Agar, K. & Read, J. (2002). What happens when people disclose sexual or physical abuse to staff at a community mental health centre?. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 11: 70–79. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-0979.2002.00230.x

Anda, R.F., Brown, D.W., Dube, S.R., Bremner, J.D., Felitti, V.J., & Giles, W.H. (2008) Adverse childhood experiences and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in adults. *American Journal of Preventative Medicine*, 34(5):396–403.

Andersen, S. L., & Teicher, M. H. (2009). Desperately driven and no brakes: Developmental stress exposure and subsequent risk for substance abuse. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 33(4): 516-524. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.09.009>

Anderson, J., Martin, J., Mullen, P., Romans, S., & Herbison, P. (1993). Prevalence of childhood sexual abuse experiences in a community sample of women. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 32(5), 911-919. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199309000-00004

Anthonyamy, A., & Zimmer-Gembeck, M. J. (2007). Peer status and behaviors of maltreated children and their classmates in the early years of school. *Child Abuse Neglect: The International Journal*, 31(9), 971-991. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2007.04.004

Azar, S. (2000). Preventing burnout in professionals and paraprofessionals who work with child abuse and neglect cases: A cognitive behavioral approach to supervision. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 56(5), 643-63. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-4679(200005)56:5<643::AID-JCLP6>3.0.CO;2-U

Beards, S., Gayer-Anderson, C., Borges, S., Dewey, M. E., Fisher, H. L., & Morgan, C. (2013). Life events and psychosis: A review and meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 39(4), 740-747.

Bendall, S., Jackson, H.J., Hulbert, C.A., & McGorry, P.D. (2008). Childhood Trauma and Psychotic Disorders: a Systematic, Critical Review of the Evidence. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 34(3): 568-579. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbm121

Bentall, R.P. (2003). *Madness explained" Psychosis and human nature*. Penguin Books Ltd. London: England.

Bentall, R. P., Wickham, S., Shevlin, M., & Varese, F. (2012). Do specific early-life adversities lead to specific symptoms of psychosis? A study from the 2007 the Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey. *Schizophrenia bulletin*, 38(4), 734-740.doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbs049

Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3 (2), 77-101.DOI:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2013).*Successful qualitative research: A guide for beginners*. Sage Publications: London.

Brown, D., Anda, R., Felitti, V., Edwards, V., Malarcher, A., Croft, J., & Giles, W. (2010). Adverse childhood experiences are associated with the risk of lung cancer: A prospective cohort study. *BMC Public Health*, 10(1), 20.

Brown, J., Cohen, P., Johnson, J. G., & Smailes, E. M. (1999). Childhood abuse and neglect: Specificity of effects on adolescent and young adult depression and suicidality. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 38(12), 1490-1496. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199912000-00009>

Butchart, A., Harvey, A.P., Mian, M., Fürniss, T., & Kahane, T. (2006). Preventing child maltreatment: a guide to taking action and generating evidence. *World Health*

*Organization and International Society for Prevention of Child Abuse, WHO Press, World Health.* France. Retrieved from:

[http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9241594365\\_eng.pdf](http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9241594365_eng.pdf)

Carr, C. P., Martins, C. M. S., Stingel, A. M., Lemgruber, V. B., & Juruena, M. F. (2013). The role of early life stress in adult psychiatric disorders: a systematic review according to childhood trauma subtypes. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 201*(12), 1007-1020. doi: 10.1097/NMD.0000000000000049

Catherall, D. R. (1995). Coping with secondary traumatic stress: The importance of the therapist's professional peer group. In B. H. Stamm (Ed.), *Secondary traumatic stress: Self-care issues for clinicians, researchers, and educators* (pp. 80-94). Lutherville, MD: Sidran.

Chapman, D. P., Wheaton, A. G., Anda, R. F., Croft, J. B., Edwards, V. J., Liu, Y., . . . Perry, G. S. (2011). Adverse childhood experiences and sleep disturbances in adults. *Sleep Medicine, 12*(8), 773-779. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2011.03.013>

Chen, L. P., Murad, M. H., Paras, M. L., Colbenson, K. M., Sattler, A. L., Goranson, E. N., . . . Zirakzadeh, A. (2010). Sexual abuse and lifetime diagnosis of psychiatric disorders: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 85*(7), 618-629. doi: 10.4065/mcp.2009.0583

Choi, G.Y. (2011). Organizational Impacts on the Secondary Traumatic Stress of Social Workers Assisting Family Violence or Sexual Assault Survivors. *Administration in Social Work 35*(3), 225-242. doi: 10.1080/03643107.2011.575333

Colman, R. A., & Widom, C. S. (2004). Childhood abuse and neglect and adult intimate relationships: A prospective study. *Child Abuse and Neglect: The International Journal, 28*(11), 1133-1151. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2004.02.005

Danaei, G., Ding, E. L., Mozaffarian, D., Taylor, B., Rehm, J., Murray, C. J., & Ezzati, M. (2009). The preventable causes of death in the United States: comparative risk assessment of dietary, lifestyle, and metabolic risk factors. *PLoS medicine*, 6(4), DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000058

Department of Communities and Local Government (2011). *The English indices of deprivation (2010)*. Retrieved from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2010>

Department of Health (2009). *Healthy Child Programme: Pregnancy to the First Five Years of Life*. Retrieved from: [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/167998/Health\\_Child\\_Programme.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/167998/Health_Child_Programme.pdf)

Devries, K. M., Mak, J. Y. T., Child, J. C., Falder, G., Bacchus, L. J., Astbury, J., & Watts, C. H. (2014). Childhood sexual abuse and suicidal behavior: A meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*, 133(5), 1331-1344. doi: 10.1542/peds.2013-2166

Dill, D. L., Chu, J. A., Grob, M. C., & Eisen, S. V. (1991). The reliability of abuse history reports: A comparison of two inquiry formats. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 32(2), 166-169. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0010-440X\(91\)90009-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0010-440X(91)90009-2)

Dong, M., Giles, W.H., Felitti, V.J., Dube, S.R., Williams, J.E., Chapman, D.P., & Anda, R.F. (2004) Insights into causal pathways for ischemic heart disease: Adverse Childhood Experiences Study. *Circulation*, 110(13), 1761-1766. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000143074.54995.7F

Dube, S. R., Anda, R. F., Felitti, V. J., Edwards, V. J., & Croft, J. B. (2002). Adverse childhood experiences and personal alcohol abuse as an adult. *Addictive Behaviors*, 27(5), 713-725. doi: 10.1016/s0306-4603(01)00204-0

Dube, S. R., Dong, M., Chapman, D. P., Giles, W. H., Anda, R. F., & Felitti, V. J. (2003). Childhood abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction and the risk of illicit drug use: The adverse childhood experiences study. [Article]. *Pediatrics*, *111*(3), 564-572. doi: 10.1542/peds.111.3.564

Dube, S. R., Fairweather, D., Pearson, W. S., Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., & Croft, J. B. (2009). Cumulative childhood stress and autoimmune diseases in adults. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, *71*(2), 243-250. doi: 10.1097/PSY.1090b1013e3181907888.

Elliott, D. M. (1997). Traumatic events: Prevalence and delayed recall in the general population. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *65*(5), 811-820. doi: 10.1037/0022-006x.65.5.811

Felitti, V.J., & Anda, R.F. (2014). The lifelong effects of adverse childhood experiences. In Chadwick, D.L., Giardino, A.P., Alexander, R., Thackeray, J.D., & Esernio-Jensen, D. (2014). *Chadwick's child maltreatment: Volume 2: Sexual abuse and psychological maltreatment*. STM Learning Inc.: Saint Louis, U.S. P.203-216.

Felitti, V.J., Anda, R.F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D.F., Spitz, A.M., Edwards, V... Marks, J.S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *(14)*4, 245-258. Doi: /10.1016/S0749-3797(98)00017-8.

Finkelhor, D. (1990). Early and long-term effects of child sexual abuse: An update. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, *21*(5), 325-330. doi: 10.1037/0735-7028.21.5.325

Fisher, H. L., Craig, T. K., Fearon, P., Morgan, K., Dazzan, P., Lappin, J., . . . Morgan, C. (2011). Reliability and comparability of psychosis patients retrospective reports of childhood abuse. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *37*(3), 546-553. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbp103

Ford, E. S., Anda, R. F., Edwards, V. J., Perry, G. S., Zhao, G., Li, C., & Croft, J. B. (2011). Adverse childhood experiences and smoking status in five states. *Preventive Medicine, 53*(3), 188-193. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2011.06.015>

Frenken, J., & van Stolk, B. (1990). Incest victims: Inadequate help by professionals. *Child Abuse & Neglect, 14*(2), 253-263. doi: 10.1016/0145-2134(90)90036-s

Green, J., McLaughlin, K. A., Berglund, P. A., Gruber, M.J., Sampson, N.A.,... & Kessler, R.C. (2010). Childhood adversities and adult psychiatric disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication I: Associations with first onset of DSM-IV disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 67*(2), 113-123. doi: 10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2009.186

Gumley, A. I., & MacBeth, A. (2006). A trauma-based model of relapse in psychosis. In Larkin, W., & Morrison, A. P. (Eds.). (2007). *Trauma and psychosis: New directions for theory and therapy*. Routledge.283-304.

Herman, D. B., Susser, E. S., Struening, E. L., & Link, B. L. (1997). Adverse childhood experiences: Are they risk factors for adult homelessness? *American Journal of Public Health, 87*(2), 249-255. doi: 10.2105/ajph.87.2.249

Hillberg, T., Hamilton-Giachritsis, C., & Dixon, L. (2011). Review of meta-analyses on the association between child sexual abuse and adult mental health difficulties: A systematic approach. *Trauma, violence & abuse, 12*(1), 38. doi: 10.1177/1524838010386812

Hillis, S. D., Anda, R. F., Dube, S. R., Felitti, V. J., Marchbanks, P. A., & Marks, J. S. (2004). The association between adverse childhood experiences and adolescent pregnancy, long-term psychosocial consequences, and fetal death. *Pediatrics, 113*(2), 320-327.

Horvath, A. O., & Symonds, B. D. (1991). Relation between working alliance and outcome in psychotherapy: A meta-analysis. *Journal Of Counseling Psychology, 38*(2), 139-149. doi:10.1037/0022-0167.38.2.139

Horwitz, A. V., Widom, C. S., McLaughlin, J., & White, H. R. (2001). The impact of childhood abuse and neglect on adult mental health: A prospective study. *Journal of health and social behavior*, 184-201. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3090177>

Irish, L., Kobayashi, I., & Delahanty, D. L. (2010). Long-term physical health consequences of childhood sexual abuse: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 35(5), 450-461.

Johnson, J. G., Cohen, P., Smailes, E. M., Skodol, A. E., Brown, J., & Oldham, J. M. (2001). Childhood verbal abuse and risk for personality disorders during adolescence and early adulthood. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 42(1), 16-23. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/comp.2001.19755>

Kessler, R. C., McLaughlin, K. A., Green, J. G., Gruber, M. J., Sampson, N. A., Zaslavsky, A. M., . . . Williams, D. R. (2010). Childhood adversities and adult psychopathology in the WHO world mental health surveys. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 197(5), 378-385. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.110.080499

Khaw, K. T., Wareham, N., Bingham, S., Welch, A., Luben, R., & Day, N. (2008). Combined impact of health behaviours and mortality in men and women: the EPIC-Norfolk prospective population study. *PLoS Medicine*, 5(1), DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0050012

Knoops, K. T., de Groot, L. C., Kromhout, D., Perrin, A. E., Moreiras-Varela, O., Menotti, A., & Van Staveren, W. A. (2004). Mediterranean diet, lifestyle factors, and 10-year mortality in elderly European men and women: the HALE project. *Jama*, 292(12), 1433-1439. doi:10.1001/jama.292.12.1433

Kuyken, W., & Brewin, C. R. (1995). Autobiographical memory functioning in depression and reports of early abuse. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 104(4), 585-591. doi: 10.1037/0021-843x.104.4.585

Kvaavik, E.I., Batty, G.D., Ursin, G., Huxley, R., & Gale, C.R. (2010) Influence of individual and combined health behaviors on total and cause-specific mortality in men and women: the United Kingdom health and lifestyle survey. *Archives of International Medicine*, 170(8):711-8. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2010.76.

Lambert, M.J., & Barley, D.E. (2001). Research summary on the therapeutic relationship and psychotherapy outcome. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 38(4), 357-361. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-3204.38.4.357>

Larkin, W., & Banner, L. (2014). REACH: Routine enquiry of adverse childhood experiences project. *Unpublished*.

Larkin, W., & Read, J. (2008). Childhood trauma and psychosis: Evidence, pathways, and implications. *Journal of Postgraduate Medicine*, 54(4), 287-293.

Lothian, J., & Read, J. (2002). Asking about Abuse during Mental Health Assessments: Clients' Views and Experiences. *New Zealand Journal of Psychology*, 31(2), 98-103. Retrieved from: <http://psycnet.apa.org/psycinfo/2003-09538-009>

Lyon, E. (1993). Hospital staff reactions to accounts by survivors of child-hood abuse. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 63(3), 410–416. doi: 10.1037/h0079452

Maniglio, R. (2013a). Prevalence of child sexual abuse among adults and youths with bipolar disorder: A systematic review. *Clinical psychology review*, 33(4), 561. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2013.03.002

Maniglio, R. (2013b). Child sexual abuse in the etiology of anxiety disorders. *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 14(2), 96-112. doi: 10.1177/1524838012470032

Michalopoulos, L. M., & Aparicio, E. (2012). Vicarious trauma in social workers: The role of trauma history, social support, and years of experience. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, 21(6), 646-664. doi: 10.1080/10926771.2012.689422

Morgan, C., & Fisher, H. (2007). Environment and schizophrenia: environmental factors in schizophrenia: childhood trauma—a critical review. *Schizophrenia bulletin*, 33(1), 3-10.doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbl053

Munro, E. (2011). *The Munro review of child protection: Final report. A child-centred system*. Retrieved from:  
[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/175391/Munro-Review.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/175391/Munro-Review.pdf)

NICE (2014). *Domestic violence and abuse: how health services, social care and the organisations they work with can respond effectively*. National Institute of Clinical Excellence guidelines, PH50, February 2014. Retrieved from:  
<https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ph50>

Norman, R. E., Byambaa, M., De, R., Butchart, A., Scott, J., & Vos, T. (2012). The long-term health consequences of child physical abuse, emotional abuse, and neglect: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS medicine*, 9(11), DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001349

NSPCC (2013).Child protection registrar statistics – UK. Retrieved from:  
[http://www.nspcc.org.uk/Inform/research/statistics/child\\_protection\\_register\\_statistics\\_wda48723.html](http://www.nspcc.org.uk/Inform/research/statistics/child_protection_register_statistics_wda48723.html)

Paolucci, E. O., Genuis, M. L., & Violato, C. (2001). A meta-analysis of the published research on the effects of child sexual abuse. *The Journal of psychology*, 135(1), 17-36.DOI:10.1080/00223980109603677

Pearlman, L. A., & MacIan, P. S. (1995). Vicarious traumatization: An empirical study of the effects of trauma work on trauma therapists. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 26(6), 558-565. doi: 10.1037/0735-7028.26.6.558

Radford, L., Corral, S., Bradley, C., & Fisher, H. L. (2013). The prevalence and impact of child maltreatment and other types of victimization in the UK: Findings from a population survey of caregivers, children and young people and young adults. *Child abuse & neglect*, (37)10, 801–813. Doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2013.02.004

Read, J. (2006) Breaking the silence: learning why, when and how to ask about trauma, and how to respond. In Larkin, W., & Morrison, A.P. (2006). *Trauma and Psychosis: New Directions for Theory and Therapy*. Pp. 195–221. Brunner-Routledge.

Read, J., & Fraser, A. (1998). Abuse histories of psychiatric inpatients: To ask or not to ask? *Psychiatric Services*, 49(3), 355-359. DOI: 10.1176/ps.49.3.355

Read, J., Hammersley, P., & Rudegeair, T. (2007). Why, when and how to ask about childhood abuse. *Advanced in Psychiatric Treatment*, 13, 101-110. Doi: 10.1192/apt.bp.106.002840

Read, J., McGregor, K., Coggan, C., & Thomas, D. (2006). Mental health services and sexual abuse: The need for staff training. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, 7(1), 33-50. doi: 10.1300/J229v07n01\_04

Read, J., Os, J. V., Morrison, A. P., & Ross, C. A. (2005). Childhood trauma, psychosis and schizophrenia: a literature review with theoretical and clinical implications. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 112(5), 330-350. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.2005.00634.x

Sarchiapone, M., Carli, V., Cuomo, C., Marchetti, M., & Roy, A. (2009). Association between childhood trauma and aggression in male prisoners. *Psychiatry Research*, 165, 187-192. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2008.04.026>

Scher, C. D., Forde, D. R., McQuaid, J. R., & Stein, M. B. (2004). Prevalence and demographic correlates of childhood maltreatment in an adult community sample. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 28(2), 167-180. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2003.09.012>

Springer, K. W., Sheridan, J., Kuo, D., & Carnes, M. (2007). Long-term physical and mental health consequences of childhood physical abuse: Results from a large population-based sample of men and women. *Child abuse & neglect, 31*(5), 517-530. doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2007.01.003

Smith, J.A. (2003). Validity and qualitative psychology. In J.A Smith (ed.), *Qualitative psychology: A practical guide to research methods*. (232-235). Thousand Oaks, CA, US: Sage Publications, Ltd.

Toner, J., Daiches, A., & Larkin, W. (2013). Asking about trauma: The experiences of psychological therapists in early intervention services. *Psychosis (5)*2, 175-186. Doi: 10.1080/17522439.2012.697484

Townsend, S. M. & Campbell, R. (2008). Organizational correlates of secondary traumatic stress and burnout among sexual assault nurse examiners. *Journal of Forensic Nursing. 5*(2): 97-106. doi: 10.1111/j.1939-3938.2009.01040.x

Trippany, R.L., Kress, V.E.W. & S.A. Wilcoxon (2004). Preventing vicarious trauma: What Counsellors should know when working with trauma survivors. *Journal of Counselling and Development. 82*(1),31-37. doi: 10.1002/j.1556-6678.2004.tb00283.x

Van der Kolk, B. A., & Fisler, R. E. (1994). Childhood abuse and neglect and loss of self-regulation. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic. 58*(2), 1994, 145-168. Retrieved from: <http://psycnet.apa.org/psycinfo/1994-37692-001>

Varese, F., Smeets, F., Drukker, M., Lieveise, R., Lataster, T., Viechtbauer, W., ... & Bentall, R. P. (2012). Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: a meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective-and cross-sectional cohort studies. *Schizophrenia Bulletin, 38*(4), 661-671. doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbs050

Woo, J., Ho, S. C., & Yu, A. L. M. (2002). Lifestyle factors and health outcomes in elderly Hong Kong Chinese aged 70 years and over. *Gerontology, 48*(4), 234-240.

DOI:10.1159/000058356

Wright, J.H., & Davis, D. (1994). The therapeutic relationship in cognitive-behavioural therapy: Patient perceptions and therapist responses. *Cognitive and Behavioural Practice, 1*(1), 25-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1077-7229\(05\)80085-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1077-7229(05)80085-9).

Wright, J., Williams, R., & Wilkinson, J. R. (1998). Development and importance of health needs assessment. *British Medical Journal, 316*(7140), 1310-1313. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.316.7140.1310>

Yardley, L. (2008). Demonstrating validity in qualitative psychology. In Smith, J.A (Ed), *Qualitative psychology: A practical guide to research methods*. (p. 235-251). Thousand Oaks, CA US, Sage Publications, Inc.

Young, M., Read, J., Barker-Collo, S., & Harrison, R. (2001). Evaluating and overcoming barriers to taking abuse histories. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 32*(4), 407. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0735-7028.32.4.407>

## **Appendices**

### **Section 1**

Appendix A: SRP suitability form

Appendix B: Interview schedule

Appendix C: Example of transcript with initial notations

Appendix D: Example of initial coding and narrative development for Pete

Appendix E: Section from ethics application form detailing plan of responding to distress during interviews

Appendix F: Journal of Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice and Policy –  
Instructions for Submission

### **Section 2**

Appendix G: Participant Information Sheet

Appendix H: Consent form

Appendix I: Ethics application form

Appendix J: Research protocol

Appendix K: Approval letter from university ethics

Appendix L: Approval letter from research and development department

**Appendix A: SRP suitability form**

This form is for you to describe briefly (no more than one side of A4 in 12 point text) the topic of your proposed service-related project. This form must be submitted to the programme assistant (academic) who will forward it to the Chair of the Exam Board for scrutiny. Forms longer than one page long will not be accepted.

<b>Name of trainee</b>	
Title of proposed SRP	Childhood adversity and trauma: Experiences of professionals trained to routinely enquire about childhood adversity
Brief explanation of study content	This study aims to explore the experiences of staff who have received Adverse Childhood Experience (ACE) training and who are using routine enquiry in their everyday practice with children and young people. As part of a larger NHS funded project (REACH: Routine Enquiry About Adversity in Childhood) which is currently in a pilot phase, 3 staff members from each of the four pilot sites will be recruited. Semi-structured interviews will be used and analysed using a form of thematic analysis.
Rationale for proposed SRP	Literature suggests strong causal links between childhood adversity and trauma and poor health, social and psychological outcomes in later life, particularly experiences of psychosis.
Relevance to clinical psychology theory, practice or services	The REACH project has been developed by clinical psychologists. Clinical psychology theory takes in to account early experiences and this project is linking this theory and developing innovative practice based on this. The following paper aims to assist evaluation and aid development from the pilot phase.

## Appendix B: Interview schedule

The following are a list of topics and subtopics that will be brought in to discussion by the researcher during the semi-structured interviews.

### 1. The impact of training

- Knowledge & Awareness of the consequences of childhood adversity
  - Could you tell me when you had the training and what you thought of it at the time?
  - Could you describe to me any ways in which you felt the training impacted on your knowledge or awareness?
- Confidence in routinely enquiring
  - Can you describe how you felt about asking the ACE questions following the training?
  - Could you tell me about anything that made you feel more confident / made it difficult asking the ACE questions?
  - What are your main thoughts or feelings about embedding routine enquiry into your everyday practice?
- Ability to respond appropriately to disclosure
  - Could you describe how routine enquiry has impacted on the way you work with clients?
  - Could you describe any ways that hearing disclosure may impact on you?
  - Can you describe any positive / negative experiences you have had as a result of routine enquiry?

### 2. Changes in practice

- How has your practice changed since training?
- What has helped/hindered routine enquiry?
- How has routine enquiry impacted on the work that you do with clients?
- In what ways do you feel that routine enquiry impacts on your clients?
- Could you describe any ways you feel your service has changed as a result of the training?

### 3. Practicalities

- How has support been provided from your service/organisation?
- How/when to ask:
  - Do you tend to ask the questions at a specific time?
  - Can you describe how you ask the questions?
  - Can you describe anything that you think helps when asking the questions?
  - Can you describe things you feel that cause barriers to asking the questions?

Don't forget:

- That's pretty much everything I wanted to talk to you about, is there anything you'd like to add that I've not asked you?

**Appendix C: Example of transcript with initial notes**

J – So it sound like it's distressing for you. Is it the response for you when someone discloses something to you? Is the difficulty how you deal with that?

P – When someone says something like that obviously you're going to get really emotional about it.

J – Yeah, definitely.

P – And looking at how young that person is and what they have had to deal with, can like, becomes your burden as well when they've shared it. And its hard because we get an hours slot with each client and quite often with our capacity we don't have a lot of room for manoeuvre, but its hard when someone has disclosed something so intimidate to move on from that, after you've closed the session, to move on from that to somebody else and then not think about that, its so difficult to do that, and as a professional quite often you're forced to do that, but then it depends on the individual, that person might then decide well, I am going to call that person again this week, or tomorrow, or even later on in the day, and spend more time with that person to try and resolve what ever issues there are or give them the best, rather than making a referral and that's the job done, it wouldn't, that wouldn't be the job done, cause that person is coming back to and coming back with the same issues, and by making a referral its not really dealt with, and they've disclosed it to you so you feel obliged to, you have to do something about it and quite often you cant devote as much time as you need to devote to it, because of the other caseloads that you have

J - Yeah, you've got limits haven't you.

P - Yeah, yeah

Hearing difficult disclosures can become a burden

Difficult to emotionally detach from a person who has disclosed and move on to the next person

Time limitations feel difficult when someone has disclosed

Sometimes feel need to give the person more time following disclosure

feeling obliged to deal with the disclosure

Time limitations are difficult as feel the need to spend more time with person following disclosure

**Appendix D: Example of initial coding and narrative development for Pete**

Quotes	Initial Notations	Narrative
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ...prior to the training we had never actually looked at adverse childhood experiences so it was really useful cause working in drug and alcohol service, a lot of their issues can be attributed to underlying factors that happened when they were growing up, so the training was really useful from that perspective, and by implementing that training in to our assessment procedure...</li> <li>• ...so previously, we never asked the ACE questions because we hadn't had the training and so they were overlooked maybe...</li> <li>• following the training we put in the assessment procedure so we could identify the problems they had early on and then could get them the support for that.</li> <li>• as a service we've always been really good with young people, and there's not been a vast change really in the way we deliver interventions cause obviously being drug and alcohol service we all are very holistic so we deal with homelessness, education, employment, training, and so yeah as a service I don't think it's changed vastly, we've linked in and are in partnership with a lot of services already, the ace has come in, to be honest with you, our relationship with other services has been really strong, the bonds have been really strong, so it's been a very easy transition to incorporate other services in to the ace thing now so.</li> <li>• this is a key reason, it is one of the main underlying reasons of why young people might use drugs to as a form of escapism or coping mechanism to deal with something that has happened, so I think it is important for all drug and alcohol workers to have the benefit of dealing with issues like this,</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training helped him to understand a lot of his clients problems could be attributed to their ACEs</li> <li>• Routine enquiry not vastly changed service delivery since the focus of their service is not ACE and the service already involved a holistic range of services</li> <li>• Prior to training had no experience of ACE and therefore no awareness</li> <li>• Prior to training did not consider ACEs during assessment or intervention</li> <li>• Feels it is important for all workers to be aware of ACEs since they are the main underlying reason for the persons current problems</li> <li>• Disclosures are beneficial since appropriate referrals can be offered</li> </ul>	<p><b>Impact of training on knowledge and practice</b></p> <p>P1 had no prior knowledge or experience of thinking about ACEs in relation to the young people he works with. The training, therefore, significantly changed how he understands his clients' and the difficulties they are experiencing. P1 explained that he now understands that a lot of the problems the young people he works with are experiencing can be attributed to their ACEs. P1 feels that all people who work with children should be aware of ACEs. However, P1 doesn't feel that the interventions his service offer young people have changed since their service focus is not ACEs. He does, however, feel that he is now able to offer young people appropriate referrals based on the disclosures.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ...quite often young people don't want to talk about stuff like that and a lot of the young people won't have had counselling at the time when the problem happened and they kind of like buried the problem and so its, by discussing it with them its bringing it back up to the surface when a lot of the young people have developed resilience to it and got on with their lives...</li> <li>• ...because we are a drug and alcohol service, what I find is a lot of the time is young people said 'well why are you asking me about this, it's got nothing to do with my drug and alcohol' and they got quite</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feels asking the questions and resurfacing the memories of ACE is a negative experience for some people who have buried them and grown resilience to them</li> <li>• Some young people have dealt with and moved on from their ACEs and don't want to talk about them</li> <li>• Feels it is important to respect young people's wish not to talk about their ACEs</li> </ul>	<p><b>Understanding clients' experiences of routine enquiry</b></p> <p>P1 talked about the differences in his clients' responses to the ACE questions. He explained that some children exaggerate their ACEs and he feels this is to elicit empathy from him. P1 also explained that some young people don't understand the seriousness of what they have experienced and that they see it is a normal part of life. P1 also explained that some young people have buried their</p>

<p>defensive about it, so again that would have caused a problem at the initial assessment because we had only just met the person and for them to understand why it is important, so even though it is a drug issue, it could be something that happened to you then that led you to the drugs, but you don't really want to explain that to them at the start...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I've had young people come in and say well this and that has happened but I don't want to talk about it, I have never had counselling about it, I've just got on with it, and in them situations, quite often you wouldn't even revisit it, because they've made that decision and that they don't want to and by revisiting it, then that could be damaging so...</li> <li>• ... because some of them have been dealing with stuff from 8 years old and even about asking the question they might have a reaction where hold on where's this come from but they will still tell you well this happened, I've moved on, a lot of the time they have, that's what's they do, I don't want to talk about it because I've moved on, it's not a problem in my life, but by talking about it will become a problem so, so you wouldn't want to pursue it but at the same time it's always in the back of your mind that ice berg scenario you've buried the problem so at some stage it's going to surface and it might be a problem but you have to respect the young person's choice if they want to move on that's their choice you can't really pursue it and you shouldn't.</li> <li>• yeah some people are like yeah I've deal with it but sometimes it could be that they're making stuff up cause quite often they do young people are quite, they want you to feel sorry for them for some reason they're like yeah I've been using drugs and I've been smoking for a couple of years, oh yeah and what happened when you were younger, oh my parents were mental they were always on drugs and my mum was insane always in and out of hospital my dad was always in prison, I hardly knew met him, and all this kind of stuff yeah, but they see it as something, like character building for them, do you know what I mean, rather then, oh this is really serious this, was your dad in prison for like 10 years of your life when you were growing up, yeah he was in and out all the time and that you know, and it's those people who its quite difficult to get them to engage cause they're kind of like laughing it off but its not something that a normal person</li> </ul>	<p>despite feeling it will likely resurface and cause the person difficulties in their future</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Important to accept when the person doesn't want to talk about ACEs because it could be more damaging for the person</li> <li>• Finds that some young people exaggerate their ACEs to elicit empathy</li> <li>• Find that some young people see their ACEs as normal parts of life</li> <li>• Finds it difficult to be aware that some people's lives could be better if they dealt with their ACEs but the person not wanting to</li> </ul>	<p>experiences and found ways to cope with the memories and therefore don't want to talk about them and bring them back in to their consciousness. P1 feels that asking these children the questions may sometimes be a negative experience for them and talked about the importance of respecting their wishes not to revisit their ACEs. P1 also talked about sometimes finding this difficult to accept particularly when he feels that the person's life would be significant better if they were able to deal with their ACEs.</p>
--	---	---

<p>would laugh off but that's the life that they've been brought up in do you know what I mean</p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>...and spend more time with that person and try to resolve whatever issues there are or give them the best, rather than making a referral and that's the job done, it wouldn't, that wouldn't be the job done, cause that person is coming back and coming back with the same issues and by making the referral it's not really dealt with, and they've disclosed to you so you feel obliged to,...</li> <li>...the fact that the young person has come out and talked to someone about it you would hope that it would get resolved because if it doesn't then the chances of that young person opening up to someone else about it are a lot less, but as a drug worker, it is not always possible to follow up and find out what happened with that, unless you are doing it yourself which you shouldn't be doing because you're not, I'm not a trained counsellor, I'm ACE trained but my recourses are quite limited to what other services can provide like specialists in that field...</li> <li>... cause it's been disclosed to me, rather than they've gone to a different service, but they've got that relationship with the person they've disclosed it to rather than, do you know what I'm getting at, rather than being passed over to someone else now, I have just told you something and now you're telling me to go there are tell that complete stranger who I don't know, who you say is a specialist in that field who can help me, but I have got a relationship with you that's why I have told you, does that make sense?</li> <li>... it's more challenging yeah. For that reason, but, I can't see an answer really, I can't see a way forward from that cause again it goes back to I'm not qualified to be dealing with that problem even though you can talk to them about it, but what else can I do other than just listen to you.</li> <li>...I just feel that somebody else can help you better, but that might be my personality it's like I don't feel that I am the right person I don't have the confidence to, and it's quite, I get quite emotional about this kind of stuff so its, and not knowing what to do, it's worse then, I don't know, it's worse because I don't know what to do and the only answer I have is talk to that person, and that's not going to help the young person</li> <li>...again it's my choice maybe whether should I go to a manager or</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feels most unable to respond appropriately to disclosures made by those young people who are clearly traumatised by their ACEs</li> <li>Concerned that disclosure may be a negative experience for some young people if it is not dealt with immediately since they may not disclose again</li> <li>Feels pressure to respond to disclosure immediately in case they decide not to tell anyone else</li> <li>Feels pressure to respond to disclosures personally</li> <li>Feels obliged to respond to disclosures himself since he has developed a trusting relationship with the person and they have decided to disclose the information to him</li> <li>Feels pressure to respond to disclosure independently rather than always seeking support from manager</li> <li>Feels his only tool for responding to disclosure is to listen and talk to the person and feels this is not good enough</li> <li>Feels inappropriately skilled to respond to disclosure</li> <li>Feels uncomfortable being in the position of having to respond to disclosure due to lack of skills</li> <li>Feels conflicted between feeling obliged to respond to disclosure but feeling unable to do so adequately</li> <li>The training didn't prepare him for responding to disclosure</li> </ul>	<p><b>The pressure and burden of hearing and responding to disclosure</b></p> <p>P1 talked about feeling a responsibility to respond to the persons disclosure independently since he felt he had gained the young person's trust, and that the young person had chose to disclose this information to him. Therefore. P1 felt a lot of pressure to respond to disclosures appropriately and adequately in a way that would help that person immediately. He felt that if he didn't do this adequately it may be damaging for the person or be a negative experience and felt concern that the person may never disclose this information again in the future. P1 however talked about feeling very under skilled to be in this position and not feeling able to responding appropriately to disclosure. P1 explained that he felt the training helped to build his confidence in asking the questions, but didn't provide him with the skills or the confidence to deal with the disclosure of ACEs. P1 feels his only tool for responding is to listen to the person and talk through it with the person, but he doesn't feel that is good enough. This is causing P1 feelings of conflict between feeling in a place of responsibility to respond, and not feeling adequately skilled to do so. P1 feels pulled toward to sharing disclosures with his manager, but tries to deal with them independently instead as he feels he should be able to.</p> <p>I think the impact that this conflict and feeling under skilled is causing P1 increased stress and emotional strain throughout his work. Although he feels his manager is always available to support him, he feels a sense of failure as a worker if he doesn't deal with disclosures independently. This is causing P1 to feel under-supported and under trained.</p>

<p>should I just deal with it myself first, it's all about time as well, I think if I give myself time, then I can deal with it rather than run straight to my manager, you know, venting out or whatever or explaining everything, but again with the young person as well it, when the young person discloses something, you want to deal with it there and then cause the moments happened and by even saying that there's a specialist do you want me to bring that person in and we will all have a discussion, a week's going to pass before, and then that young person will be feeling differently when they come and they might not want to talk about it then, so you can't do it that way, the moment has happened so it's like we need to act right then.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It gives the person benefit because again it comes down to the relationship with the young person that the key worker has, and I can't see beyond that really, in this situation its, there's nothing you can really say to that young person except, you know that you appreciate that they've told you and opened up, but then that again is the reason is, have they opened up because they've been asked it, or because they've chosen to give this information because they are ready to do something about it</li> <li>• ...but other occasions when they have opened up to you then its kind of like a lost world, for me personally it's a lost world, even though I've had the training the training was more about how do you ask somebody about these childhood experiences and the correct way to go about asking them the questions rather than what happens next, and even though they did explain that, obviously the ace person come in and you know, but then in reality, I don't think that's enough.</li> <li>• It's just again it could come down to the time, if somebody's told it you, and the negative thing would be dealing with it at that specific time and, my opinion is if it doesn't get dealt with at that time then and gets left even a day then it you know, the young person's mood is going to be different so that would be a negative.</li> <li>• I'll be honest with you my knowledge on subjects like that isn't as good as it could be yeah, I don't feel capable of dealing with that, I can have conversations that's fine but actually dealing with something, I don't feel that I have got the skills capable of dealing with it.</li> <li>• and then you get some that have obviously been traumatised by that experience and live in their shell and its them actually that I feel when</li> </ul>		
--	--	--

<p>they open up I feel worse that I can't deal with that situation as best as it can be dealt with cause I am not that skilled</p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>... then we can go in to that meeting and say right this that and that is happening to that young person because they've disclosed this, so by you enforcing the rules on that person isn't really being productive, by telling them they've got to stop you can't drink, you can't do this, you know it's just brining back all the stuff that happened to them when they were a child, so they are seeing you as, and social services might not necessarily have known about that, and as that young person has disclosed this to us then we can share that information and so that young person isn't being subjected to that kind of...</li> <li>... there's one example that I can give you where the young man he didn't initially at assessment disclose anything about that but the way we go about it is yeah if you don't feel comfortable talking about this now we can revisit it later on, so that young person did build a rapport and then disclosed that this has happened and that's why he's, he can get aggressive when he is spoken to without respect or when he's being judges and he is with a social worker, and the social worker at every core group meeting spoke down to him and even though she is a professional and she saw him in one instance where well he's smoking cannabis, he can't look after the baby and this is what you must do and there's a county court judgement and the court says this is what you have to do, but the reasoning came through that well actually there's a way to say it for that young person so that he doesn't have the flash backs of the things that happened to him when he was younger, and by explaining that to that social worker, well if I had have just said it normally then she might have paid attention, she might now, but because I have something to show her, look we have done these ace questions and look what's happened here, does that kind of like, is that what you thought about that young person, did you imagine that could have happened, and they're like well I didn't have any idea about that, and then, so this is why, maybe, so when you have to do something, obviously you have to treat him like a child as well, but there is a way to go about it, and then that changed the way that she behaved toward him and then his relationship with her started improving as well, so rather than being this person that you know you never listen to me you always tell me to do stuff and you don't listen to what I say and being really argumentative with her,</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sharing ACE information helps to develop relationships between young people and other professionals as helps them to understand the person more fully and helps to develop more empathic and effective communication</li> <li>Sharing ACE information helps professionals from other services understand what support will be most helpful and why</li> </ul>	<p><b>Impacting upon communication with other services</b></p> <p>P1 talked about how having an awareness of ACEs and being able to share this with professionals from other services allows for him to help to increase their understanding of the young people they work with. This helps those professionals to have an increased sense of empathy toward the young people, and helps them to communicate in more empathic and helpful ways. Furthermore, this information sharing allows services that they refer young people to develop more informed intervention strategies.</p> <p>Clearly this is important since it may allow a range services to work more effectively with young people developing better working relationships which will allow for more positive work to be done and may increase likelihood of change being made by the young person. Furthermore, better informed intervention plans may allow for more helpful interventions and care packages to be put in place for the young person, allowing for more individualised and appropriate support, and again an increased likelihood of change.</p>

<p>kind of like changed and then, yeah.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• yeah I think its beneficial for them by far, yeah, to be fair, the referrals, it's something as a professional you have to do yeah, to offer them that there is this service that can help you and I can make that referral and you can go and see them and I will even go with you to see them if you want to see them...</li> </ul>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ...it was kind of difficult at the start to be asking such intimate questions...because it was the first time we had met the person so talking about issues like that could be very difficult when we had not developed a rapport with the person...</li> <li>• ...at the initial assessment, if the young person doesn't want to ask the questions we could revisit it later on once we had developed a rapport with them...and that has been really useful the fact that, after 2 or 3 weeks of seeing that young person you can revisit that...</li> <li>• ...it's still tricky but you get a lot more honesty out of them. And by that time, if they felt that well something did happen, but I don't want to talk about it right now, but I will at some point then, that has happened where a young person has come back 'right, remember when you asked me this a few weeks about the situation when I was growing up', and they would come and talk about it...</li> <li>• ... the reason we did it like that is because we were trained that there is a way to ask these questions, so if we wrote it that way and that no one could deviate too much and its worded that way, cause if you ask it a different way it wouldn't be as effective, there's only one way, just come out and say it, and that's what the training was about and I think it's right, yeah, you can't fluff it up, cause there's, you just can't.</li> <li>• during the initial assessment that you're asking the questions and the ace questions come if it is an hour's assessment the ace questions come after 40 minutes so they've already been asked about the drug use, the family life, any relationships, any criminal offences, they've already been asked all the questions and then come, right I'm going to ask you now about your upbringing and these really sensitive questions, so, they've had loads that they've had to remember and deal with and then they've got this now, so, but I still wouldn't change it having said that, erm, cause I don't think you bring it forward at any stage, you can't start with that, but then at the same time you wouldn't want to finish with that, but, like I said the way we</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Important to record on care plan to revisit the ACE questions if feel the person didn't answer questions truthfully during initial assessment</li> <li>• Some young people respond defensively to questions during the initial assessment if they don't fully understand why they are being asked</li> <li>• Difficult to ask questions during initial assessment since rapport has not yet been developed</li> <li>• Asking questions during the middle of the session is best since not helpful to ask such intimate questions at the beginning or end of the session</li> <li>• Important to revisit the questions later when a rapport has been established since person is more likely to disclose then</li> <li>• Important to stick to standardised way of asking the questions because they are more effective that way</li> </ul>	<p><b>How and when to ask about ACEs</b></p> <p>P1 talked about the practicalities of asking the young people he works with the ACE questions. He felt that there are difficulties with asking such intimate questions during the initial assessment session since he has not yet developed an effective working relationship with the person. P1 explained that he does ask the questions in the initial assessment, but that if he feels the person hasn't disclosed something, he will record it on the persons care plan to revisit the questions later. P1 feels that revisiting the questions is a very important part of routine enquiry and that he finds young people are much likely to disclose when a rapport has been established by 2 or 3 weeks. P1 also feels that asking the questions in the middle of the session is an appropriate time to ask since it would be inappropriate to ask them right at the start of a session or right at the end. Finally, P1 felt that it was important for all workers to ask the questions according to the standardised form they had been given. P1 felt that changing the questions in any way would lead to them being less effective and therefore always asked every person in the standardised format.</p>

<p>get, we do it if they don't want to answer it there they can revisit it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>... we do a care plan after the session and then review the care plan every month and then on the care plan I'd say ace questions just revisit, if I felt that the answers I was getting during the assessment really wasn't the honest truth and they just wanted to get through it best as they can, so they would get the opportunity where they would be asked again, and that would be when we have got a rapport with then so.</li> </ul>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>And looking at how that person is and what they have had to deal with can like, becomes your burden as well when they've shared it...</li> <li>...but it's hard when someone has disclosed something so intimate to move on from that...</li> <li>...but then it depends on the individual, that person might decide well, I am going to call that person again this week, or tomorrow, or even later on the day and spend more time with that person and try to resolve whatever issues are there or give them the best, rather than making the referral and job done...</li> <li>...I get quite emotional about this kind of stuff so its, and not knowing what to do, it's worse then, I don't know, it's worse because I don't know what to do and the only answer I have is talk to that person, and that's not going to help the young person...</li> <li>yeah, and then for me myself its wow that's happened, now I have got someone else in five minutes, how do I detach?</li> <li>... you just get on with it, you just can't yeah, if you've got back to back appointments you've got literally five minutes, you're upstairs, right I've got this person coming in now, get my prep ready and just go down and the young person turns up and then that's it you just stop thinking about it until obviously at the end of the day or when you get a free moment and then, yeah, in the past I have felt compelled to ring the young person after but thinking about I've thought I'm not going to do that because they might be alright and by me ringing them its making it its bringing it back into the forefront, so, yeah.</li> <li>but some of the stuff you come out with it's going to affect you even if you try not to, and I think if you try and not to affect you then it it's going to stop you from being effective with that young person in a way because you're not being genuine and I think that would come across to the young person that, they're just paying me lip services you know I've discussed this with him and if you try to detach</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Finds it difficult to be aware that a person's life could be better if they dealt with their ACEs but the person does not want to</li> <li>Experiencing conflict between wanting to call the person later in the day following disclosure but worrying this might cause further distress</li> <li>Finds it difficult to emotionally detach following disclosure and move on to next person</li> <li>Hearing difficult disclosures can feel like a burden</li> <li>Disclosures have an emotional impact on him which feel worse when he feels unable to respond appropriately</li> <li>Hearing peoples disclosures can feel too overwhelming</li> <li>Emotional responses to disclosures are important for the person to see since it shows genuineness and allows for a more effective working relationship</li> </ul>	<p><b>The emotional impact of routine enquiry</b></p> <p>P1 talked about the emotional impact of hearing young people's disclosures of ACEs. P1 described often feeling overwhelmed by people's disclosures, feeling unable to respond to them adequately due to a lack of skills, and finding it difficult to emotionally detach from the experience and finding it to be burden. P1 described experiencing conflict following disclosures with feeling the need to contact the person to ensure their wellbeing but simultaneously feeling concern contacting them might cause the person distress. P1 talked about finding it particularly difficult either when he felt a person's life could be significantly improved if they would deal with the ACEs but were not prepared to, or if he felt the person was significantly traumatised by their experiences and felt unable to help the person or respond appropriately, P1 does, however, also talk about the positivity of his emotional responses to peoples disclosures, describing it as a reflection of his genuineness which he feels allows the development of stronger working relationships with the young people he works with.</p> <p>I feel that the emotional impact that p1 is experiencing as a result of disclosure is largely due to his feeling under-skilled and unsupported. It is clear from P1's descriptions of his concerns that he is feeling a huge sense of responsibility in terms of responding to disclosures appropriately and independently, feeling that referring on is in some way disrespecting the person's choice to disclose this information to him. Such a huge sense of responsibility</p>

<p>yourself from it it's just going to be negative to so, even as a professional a part of you is going to get affected and you've got to, I think it would be disrespectful if you didn't, so.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• again it's respecting the person's choice. It makes me feel sad in way that something's happened significant has happened to that person, and even though they've moved on, it's still there are their lives could be so much better than it is because it could be that they don't want to deal with it because of how significant it is, but yeah but, again, they made that decision where they were at an age when they shouldn't really have been making decisions like that</li> </ul>		<p>is understandably causing him emotional strain.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• And it's hard when we get an hour's slot with each client and quite often with our capacity we don't have a lot of room for manoeuvre, but it's hard when someone has disclosed something so intimate to move on from that, after you've closed the session, to move on to somebody else and then not think about that, and as a professional often you're forced to do that...</li> <li>• ... you have to do something about it and quite often you can't devote as much time to it as you need to devote because of the other caseload you have.</li> <li>• ...I discuss it with my manager that this has just happened and thus what u have done this far, but I am not happy with just leaving it, and I'd ask, what else can I do, you know, what else can I do? But quite often because we are a drug and alcohol service the focus would go back on to deal with the drugs and the alcohol, everything else shouldn't come in to it.</li> <li>• ... but as a professional I feel like I want to know what's happened with that person and not just by talking to the person but seeing what other professionals have done, and it's not always happened to be honest with you, I have made referrals, I've contacted my manager and said this has happened, and then it's been left and I am not entirely happy with that.</li> <li>• ...I mean we can go to our managers you know whenever there is a problem, and they are available all the time and you can discuss stuff with them all the time, so but again it's my choice maybe whether should I go to a manager or should I just deal with it myself first...</li> <li>• ... again it's my choice maybe whether should I go to a manager or should I just deal with it myself first, it's all about time as well, I think if I give myself time, then I can deal with it rather than run</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Services should allow for more time for workers to respond adequately to disclosures</li> <li>• Feels there is a great need for continuous support and training</li> <li>• Need for more information, knowledge and skills regarding responding to disclosure</li> <li>• Need for more training and support to ensure routine enquiry is continued and knowledge about ACE is kept up to date</li> <li>• Finds time limitations difficult when a young person discloses ACEs</li> <li>• Need continuous support and training now that routine enquiry is embedded in to practice</li> <li>• Need training to develop self-care skills following emotional impact of hearing disclosure</li> <li>• Feels there is a need to be able to give the person more time following disclosure</li> <li>• Feels conflicted between feeling obliged to support the person following disclosure but being unable to since the focus of their service is not ACE</li> <li>• Support is always available from the manager of the service</li> <li>• Needs training to develop skills on ending sessions safely following disclosure</li> </ul>	<p><b>Service and training implications associated with routine enquiry</b></p> <p>P1 talks about the ways in which he feels his service should change as a result of embedding routine enquiry in to practice. Due to P1s strong sense of feeling under skilled with regard to responding to disclosure, he feels that there should be further training in this area, and then continuous support during which difficult cases can be discussed. P1 feels that within the further training they should, alongside receiving better skills to respond to disclosure, they should also receive up to date information as research in the area develops, training on how to end sessions with clients following disclosure appropriately and safely, and also requires training on self-care skills to help him to learn to detach emotionally from his work. It is clear from P1s responses that he feels a lack of confidence in his work and thus experiences worry following his sessions that his clients might be experiencing distress as a result. Therefore, P1 feels he needs increased support and training to improve his skills and thus improving his confidence in his work following disclosures which would decrease his worry.</p> <p>P1 also talks about feeling that his service is not set up so that he is adequately supported to respond to disclosures. For example, he feels that due to their high workload he is limited in the time that he is able to spend with each client, and this can cause difficulty when a client discloses ACE as he has to end the session without giving the person the time</p>

<p>straight to my manager, you know, venting out or whatever or explaining everything...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ...but other occasions when they have opened up to you then it's kind of like a lost world, for me personally it's a lost world, even though I've had the training the training was more about how do you ask somebody about these childhood experiences and the correct way to go about asking them the questions rather than what happens next, and even though they did explain that, obviously the ace person come in and you know, but then in reality, I don't think that's enough.</li> <li>• Definitely need more skills, and more training cause the ace training was brilliant incorporating in to the service, but I think going forward now, now that it is embedded in the service yeah, how does the drug worker deal with that situation now? Definitely more training, how to close a session when something like that has been disclosed, something specifically on that and how to leave the young person in a comfortable state so that when they come back in you can revisit it, that's the still a grey area for me, it's like you've discussed it and I don't want to leave you, and I want to explore this further, but we've got ten minutes now, it's not good is it? So what's the right way to do it.</li> <li>• yeah, and then for me myself its wow that's happened, now I have got someone else in five minutes, how do I detach? That's training again for the staff.</li> <li>• it probably would be useful, like it's been at least 6 months since the last ace training so it would be useful to have like refreshers on that, so going forward, quite often professions are bombarded with training, but for something as important as this, it is important to keep up to date and, and I'm sure after this research there will be changes made as well so it's just important o keep it going.</li> <li>• in our service each practitioner has a caseload of maximum 20 young people so when I feel that when somebody discloses something like this if we had the full training and skills to deal with something like this I think maybe it should be incorporated that we get given more time to deal with that young person rather than just seeing that person one hour a week maybe, to like a two-hour session or even a bit more in depth time with that person whether it's going with them to a counsellor or, whatever it may be.</li> </ul>		<p>he feels they require. Furthermore, due to their service having a specific focus that does not include ACEs, P1 feels conflict between wanting to help the person with those disclosures, but feeling that he must keep his interventions focussed on the focus of the service. Again this may come back to P1's feeling reluctant to refer young people on following disclosure as he feels obliged to respond himself.</p> <p>Furthermore, P1 feels that often when he makes referrals to other services for support following the disclosures of ACEs, that these referrals are not picked up. Understandably this increases his emotional stress, and highlights some problems with the service responses to routine enquiry.</p>
---	--	--

**Appendix E: Section from ethics application form detailing plan of responding to distress during the interviews**

15. What discomfort (including psychological), inconvenience or danger could be caused by participation in the project? Please indicate plans to address these potential risks.

It is possible participants may become distressed whilst discussing their experiences of asking children about trauma. In this case, during the interview, the researcher will acknowledge the level of distress and ask the participant if they wish to continue. I feel this is appropriate since my experience and training to date has provided me with the skills to respond empathically and appropriately. Within my placements on clinical training, and previous experience within both clinical, and research settings, I have experienced such situations and have developed skills throughout these learning processes. If I have concerns about a participant due to their level of discomfort or distress, I will share this with my supervisors. This will be known to all participants as part of their informed consent.

Participants will be given the option to continue, to end the interview and withdraw their participation, to end the interview but allow the researcher to use the information they have gained, or to pause the interview but continue after a break or reschedule the interview for a later date. The researcher will offer participants debriefing at the end of their interview, with time to reflect upon the experience of conducting the interview, and any difficulties that may have arisen for them. The researcher will suggest if they feel it would be beneficial, to seek supervision within their teams regarding any issues that have arisen for them, and will offer a follow up call the following day to ensure the participant is feeling reduced distress and feel they have appropriate support. If the participant discloses any information about their clients, this will not be transcribed. The clients name will be replaced by [clients name].

## Appendix F: Journal of Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice and Policy – Instructions for Submission

Prior to submission, please carefully read and follow the submission guidelines detailed below. Manuscripts that do not conform to the submission guidelines may be returned without review.

### Submission

Submit manuscripts electronically through the [Manuscript Submission Portal](#) (.doc or .docx files).



Kathleen Kendall-Tackett, PhD  
Praeclarus Press, LLC  
2504 Sweetgum Lane  
Amarillo, TX 79124

General correspondence may be directed to the [Editor's Office](#).

Authors must indicate in their cover letter whether they prefer masked or unmasked peer review. If anonymous review is requested, all author's names, their affiliations, and contact information will be removed by the manuscript coordinator.

In addition to addresses and phone numbers, please supply email addresses and fax numbers for use by the editorial office and later by the production office. Most correspondence between the editorial office and authors is handled by email, so a valid email address is important to the timely flow of communication during the editorial process.

Keep a copy of the manuscript to guard against loss.

### Length

Manuscripts for *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy* can vary in length, but may not exceed 28 double-spaced manuscript pages (including title page, abstract, manuscript body, references, and tables/figures.) Manuscripts that exceed this length may be returned without review. Authors do have the option of electronically archiving supplemental material, such as tables and figures, in order to assist them in keeping their articles to the required length. (See below.)

While *Psychological Trauma* primarily publishes original empirical studies, we are also open to reviewing high quality literature reviews and clinical, qualitative, theoretical and policy articles.

### Manuscript Preparation

Prepare manuscripts according to the [Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association \(6<sup>th</sup> edition\)](#). Manuscripts may be copyedited for bias-free language (see Chapter 3 of the *Publication Manual*).

Review APA's [Checklist for Manuscript Submission](#) before submitting your article.

Double-space all copy. Other formatting instructions, as well as instructions on preparing tables, figures, references, metrics, and abstracts, appear in the *Manual*.

If your manuscript was mask reviewed, please ensure that the final version for production includes a byline and full author note for typesetting.

Below are additional instructions regarding the preparation of tables.

#### Tables

Use Word's Insert Table function when you create tables. Using spaces or tabs in your table will create problems when the table is typeset and may result in errors.

### Submitting Supplemental Materials

APA can place supplemental materials online, available via the published article in the PsycARTICLES® database. Please see [Supplementing Your Article With Online Material](#) for more details.

## Abstract and Keywords

All manuscripts must include an abstract containing a maximum of 250 words typed on a separate page. After the abstract, please supply up to five keywords or brief phrases.

## References

List references in alphabetical order. Each listed reference should be cited in text, and each text citation should be listed in the References section.

Examples of basic reference formats:

- **Journal Article:**  
Hughes, G., Desantis, A., & Waszak, F. (2013). Mechanisms of intentional binding and sensory attenuation: The role of temporal prediction, temporal control, identity prediction, and motor prediction. *Psychological Bulletin*, 139, 133–151. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0028566>
- **Authored Book:**  
Rogers, T. T., & McClelland, J. L. (2004). *Semantic cognition: A parallel distributed processing approach*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- **Chapter in an Edited Book:**  
Gill, M. J., & Sypher, B. D. (2009). Workplace incivility and organizational trust. In P. Lutgen-Sandvik & B. D. Sypher (Eds.), *Destructive organizational communication: Processes, consequences, and constructive ways of organizing* (pp. 53–73). New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.

## Figures

Graphics files are welcome if supplied as Tiff or EPS files. Multipanel figures (i.e., figures with parts labeled a, b, c, d, etc.) should be assembled into one file.

The minimum line weight for line art is 0.5 point for optimal printing.

For more information about acceptable resolutions, fonts, sizing, and other figure issues, [please see the general guidelines](#).

When possible, please place symbol legends below the figure instead of to the side.

APA offers authors the option to publish their figures online in color without the costs associated with print publication of color figures.

The same caption will appear on both the online (color) and print (black and white) versions. To ensure that the figure can be understood in both formats, authors should add alternative wording (e.g., "the red (dark gray) bars represent") as needed.

For authors who prefer their figures to be published in color both in print and online, original color figures can be printed in color at the editor's and publisher's discretion provided the author agrees to pay:

- \$900 for one figure
- An additional \$600 for the second figure
- An additional \$450 for each subsequent figure

## Permissions

Authors of accepted papers must obtain and provide to the editor on final acceptance all necessary permissions to reproduce in print and electronic form any copyrighted work, including test materials (or portions thereof), photographs, and other graphic images (including those used as stimuli in experiments).

On advice of counsel, APA may decline to publish any image whose copyright status is unknown.

- [Download Permissions Alert Form \(PDF, 13KB\)](#)

## Publication Policies

APA policy prohibits an author from submitting the same manuscript for concurrent consideration by two or more publications.

See also [APA Journals® Internet Posting Guidelines](#).

APA requires authors to reveal any possible conflict of interest in the conduct and reporting of research (e.g., financial interests in a test or procedure, funding by pharmaceutical companies for drug research).

- [Download Disclosure of Interests Form \(PDF, 38KB\)](#)  
Authors of accepted manuscripts are required to transfer the copyright to APA.
- For manuscripts **not** funded by the Wellcome Trust or the Research Councils UK  
[Publication Rights \(Copyright Transfer\) Form \(PDF, 83KB\)](#)

- For manuscripts funded by the Wellcome Trust or the Research Councils UK [Wellcome Trust or Research Councils UK Publication Rights Form \(PDF, 34KB\)](#)

## Ethical Principles

---

It is a violation of APA Ethical Principles to publish "as original data, data that have been previously published" (Standard 8.13).

In addition, APA Ethical Principles specify that "after research results are published, psychologists do not withhold the data on which their conclusions are based from other competent professionals who seek to verify the substantive claims through reanalysis and who intend to use such data only for that purpose, provided that the confidentiality of the participants can be protected and unless legal rights concerning proprietary data preclude their release" (Standard 8.14).

APA expects authors to adhere to these standards. Specifically, APA expects authors to have their data available throughout the editorial review process and for at least 5 years after the date of publication.

Authors are required to state in writing that they have complied with APA ethical standards in the treatment of their sample, human or animal, or to describe the details of treatment.

- [Download Certification of Compliance With APA Ethical Principles Form \(PDF, 26KB\)](#)  
The APA Ethics Office provides the full [Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct](#) electronically on its website in HTML, PDF, and Word format. You may also request a copy by [emailing](#) or calling the APA Ethics Office (202-336-5930). You may also read "Ethical Principles," December 1992, *American Psychologist*, Vol. 47, pp. 1597–1611.